IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

In author

WILLIAM WINDSOR, Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

VS.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company, Defendants.

## NOTICE OF FILING LETTER TO JUDGE JEFFREY L. ASHTON

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR, Plaintiff, hereby files this Notice of Filing Letter to Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton.

Dated in Leesburg, Florida this 25th day of February, 2021,

William M. Windsor

Pro Se

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have served a true and correct copy of the foregoing by Electronic

Mail:

David I. Wynne and Scott L. Astrin Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin 100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605 Tampa, Florida 33602 david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com, emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com 813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110

Fax: 813-649-8362

This 25th day of February, 2021.

allion he Clerkon

William M. Windsor

## William M. Windsor

100 East Oak Terrace Drive Unit B3 \* Leesburg, FL 34748 billwindsor1@outlook.com \* 352-805-7887

February 25, 2021

Ms. Keitra Davis Judicial Assistant to Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Division 37 425 North Orange Avenue Suite 1110 Orlando, Florida 32801

Re: Case No. 2018-CA-010270-O, Windsor v. Longest and Boise Cascade

Dear Ms. Davis:

You emailed today to say "Any hearing request over 1 hour require court approval by either appearing during ex parte/short matters or by letter to the judge detailing the reasons for the excessive time."

I am requesting 16 hours for the hearing on the Order to Show Cause regarding the Defendants' second attempt to require that an attorney sign my pleadings.

As the judge knows, I have to be given an "opportunity to be heard," and that right of due process doesn't mean a short hearing where little can be presented. The law requires that this is to be an evidentiary hearing. Because of the outrageous nature of what the Defendants have claimed, I need to present hundreds of documents as evidence. I will testify at great length. I plan to call several witnesses. I hope to have a retired federal judge as a witness. I will have one witness in regard to Georgia and Montana. I hope to have one or more witnesses regarding Texas.

I will address with evidence the 172 cases in the history of Florida where plaintiffs were required to have their pleadings signed by a member of the Florida Bar. By the hearing, I will have completed reading every case.

There have been a grand total of 19 cases in the history of the State of Florida where a non-prisoner or non-disbarred attorney has received an order purporting to require pleadings to be signed by a member of the Florida Bar.

The idea that I should be the 20th person in the history of Florida to be restricted from filing unless he can get an attorney to sign his pleadings is truly AS FRIVOLOUS AS IT GETS. So, I will present that the attorneys for the Defendants are committing fraud on the court.

In 16 of the 19 cases in the history of the State of Florida where a non-prisoner or non-disbarred attorney has received an order purporting to require pleadings to be signed by a member of the Florida Bar, a Show Cause Order was issued. One of those cases, *Humes v. Solanski*, was

overturned because of that failure. The Florida Supreme Court stated in *Owens v. Forte* that it should have been done. In *G.W. v. Rushing*, the Opinion doesn't indicate one way or another whether a Show Cause Order was issued, but as the plaintiff had already been determined to be a vexatious litigant based on Florida Statute 68.093, surely there must have been a Show Cause Order somewhere along the way. It appears the judge figured this out from my Motion.

I will be presenting evidence about the requirement that motions must be signed. I will present evidence in regard to Rule 2.515 of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration.

I will subpoen Scott Astrin and David Wynne to testify and possibly t heir staff members. As their motion is not verified or supported by affidavit, it will be vital for the Court to see what personal knowledge they have of the purported facts they claim. I will have the Clerk of the Court issue Subpoenas Duces Tecum requiring Astrin and Wynne to produce the voluminous emails and numerous pleadings they claim. I will examine them about how the law says those make it an emergency to require Windsor's pleadings to be signed by an attorney. And why the day of the week or the hour of the day matters. I'm curious to know what they have to say about how this makes this an emergency. There are many accusations in Paragraph 4. I want to see the evidence and thoroughly cover this. I plan to prove that Astrin and Wynne do not tell the truth.

Paragraphs 6 to 25 will require examination of Wynne and Astrin and presentation of hundreds of documents as evidence.

Astrin and Wynne must produce evidence regarding their personal knowledge regarding Judge Mosley. I will be presenting extensive testimony about this as well as evidence. They must show voluminous and unnecessarily long pleadings and explain why.

I will ask Astrin and Wynne about George May, a man named a Vexatious Litigant pursuant to Florida Statute 68.093. This means in the immediately preceding 5-year period, he had commenced, prosecuted, or maintained, pro se, five or more civil actions in any court in Florida, which actions had been finally and adversely determined against him. I have had NONE. *May v. Barthet* and the federal case of *In re McDonald* are the only legal authorities cited, and neither apply.

I will present evidence regarding collateral estoppel, the law of the case, and stare decisis.

I will present case law and evidence regarding this proposed injunction.

I will present each of the 19 cases where a non-prisoner was ordered to have an attorney sign their pleadings. I will show that none of them apply to me or this case.

I will prove that the motion is frivolous and that this Court should have stricken it. I will have case law galore to present.

In addition to disproving the false and unsubstantiated claims about my litigation history, I will present 172 cases to show that what may have happened in another state is not relevant to a Florida filing injunction.

I will present evidence to prove beyond a shadow of a doubt that Astrin and Wynne have presented absolutely nothing that would qualify them to have me denied my pro se rights. They know I am better than any attorney, so they continue to commit fraud on the court to try to win.

I will present evidence of my financial condition to show I cannot afford an attorney. I will testify that if this Court grants this frivolous motion, I will lose the case as I cannot get an attorney. Astrin and Wynne will save their insurance company the \$3 million + that a jury should award.

I will prove that there has been no wrongdoing or improper activity by me.

I will present evidence to show that Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton is outrageously biased and prejudiced.

I will show that the Defendants' motion has no legal authority.

anh Clerkon

I will present a lot of evidence/argument about how there cannot be an injunction.

I will question Astrin and Wynne about the non-existent Certificate of Conference.

That's it off the top of my head. 16 hours might not be enough. But this Court will, in essence, be trying to take away my life early and condemn me to a life of poverty s an invalid. I am owed the right to be heard.

Thank you.

William M. Windsor

cc: David I. Wynne

Scott L. Astrin