PROCEEDINGS

THE REPORTER: Your Honor, excuse me. I'm the court reporter and I was unable to connect to the videoconference. I've been trying to get in to the meeting. I'm just now joining.

THE COURT: Okay. We will back up a little bit. First of all, what's your last name?

THE REPORTER: Horiski, H-O-R-I-S-K-I.

THE COURT: And what organization are you with?

THE REPORTER: I'm with Joy Hayes Court Reporting.

THE COURT: All right. And where is that located?

THE REPORTER: Inverness, Florida.

THE COURT: Okay. Joy Hicks, was it?

THE REPORTER: Hayes, H-A-Y-E-S.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. I will start over slightly.

THE REPORTER: Okay. And who is available?

I can't see because I couldn't join the Teams.

THE COURT: That's okay. This is Judge
Ashton. On the call we have Mr. Windsor and we
have Scott Astrin who is the attorney representing
the defendants.

THE REPORTER: Thank you so much.

THE COURT: To summarize, the Court is here on a rule to show case issued to Mr. Windsor to grant the defendants' motion, which requires Mr. Windsor to file any further pleadings that have to be approved and signed by a member of the Florida Bar.

I pointed out to Mr. Windsor that this matter has been about a year since Counsel was withdrawn from the case and this case has not proceeded at all towards trial or resolution. I pointed out that defense counsel has supplied me with multiple examples of cases in which Mr. Windsor has been found to be an abusive litigant or vexatious litigant.

And that since the order -- since ruling to show cause was issued, as I pointed out in my prior order, Mr. Windsor has filed a dozen motions totaling over 1,600 pages prompting the Court to file an interim order prohibiting him from any further filings.

Since that order was entered and, in fact, since Thursday of last week Mr. Windsor has sent my JA, judicial assistant 214 e-mails containing over a thousand pages of exhibits. And I commented to

Mr. Windsor that those matters are not going to be considered. I have not looked at them and I will not look a them.

It is not an appropriate method of getting around the Court's order to send them directly to the JA. And if it continues, then Mr. Windsor, I've instructed my JA to block your e-mail so you can't communicate any further.

So as I said, pursuant to my order Mr. Windsor will have 20 minutes to show cause why I should not grant the motion before the Court. It is now 10:36. I have sworn in Mr. Windsor. So, sir, you may proceed.

MR. WINDSOR: Your Honor, I have absolutely done nothing wrong that would justify a Court requiring that I have a member of the Florida Bar review and sign -- absolutely nothing.

The order to show cause is entirely bogus.

And I believe only a dishonest judge would allow a hearing on a matter such as this. In my opinion the hearing is judicial wrongdoing. I object to the hearing. I have filed an Exhibit 400. I tender it to the Court for admission. It explains the reasons why this meeting should be cancelled.

THE COURT: It will not be considered. Sir,

the purpose of the rule to show cause is to address the allegations in the motion. So go ahead.

MR. WINDSOR: Well, there are no allegations in the motion or the order to show cause. Nothing is attached to it. I have explained that to you, but I guess you haven't read it, Your Honor. But you have to attach a motion or explain what the order to show cause is about.

I cited case law on that. There's nothing attached. It's a naked order to show cause without any explanation of what it's supposed to be about. I asked you to recuse yourself. The extent of your bias against me is truly overwhelming.

I attempt to tender Exhibits 353 and 356, which explain this.

THE COURT: It will not be accepted.

MR. WINDSOR: Okay. So you refuse to recuse yourself, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sir, there's been no motion filed, pursuant to the rules. And based on the outcome of this hearing will determine whether you will be able to file one. That's the point of this hearing.

MR. WINDSOR: All right. I'm asking Your Honor to recuse yourself because you have done

nothing but demonstrate extreme bias and prejudice. Will you recuse yourself?

THE COURT: Mr. Windsor, you have 20 minutes to say whatever you want. And that time is running.

MR. WINDSOR: I'm asking you to recuse yourself and you have refused. This case is about auto negligence and attempted murder. On May 5, 2017 I was hit by a Boise Cascade 18-wheeler truck driven by Robert Longest at 70 miles an hour. It might have been intentional. My car was totalled. I was disabled.

I suffered four herniated disks in my back, five herniated disks in my neck. I have all types of apparatus I'm supposed to wear. I have to walk with a cane and I fall repeatedly. I have an abdominal injury called diastasis recti, which has -- the muscles that are supposed to encapsulate your abdomen spread four inches apart. It's allegedly inoperable.

I have extreme difficulty sleeping and never more than a few hours at a time. My only hopes for some relief will come from this lawsuit as my personal insurance coverage ran out long ago and Medicare will not cover a hundred thousand or more

in surgery, as I can't pay the deductible.

I'm indigent. My quality of life was ruined by the defendants, Wynne and Astrin and you're aiding and abetting them in that effort. As I said, this is a case of attempted murder. You, Your Honor, Astrin and Attorney David Wynne are attempting to kill me with this outrageous effort to be able to prohibit me to be able to file anything in the case.

It's certain to be an early death. I can't afford an attorney, so if this injunction is granted, I will never be able to file anything in the case and I will lose. And without the surgeries that this case should finance and the inevitable care needed, I will die much sooner than I would have.

I was in really good health at the time of the accident. I figured I would outlive my father who lived to be 89. My wonderful mother died in Orlando of misdiagnosed breast cancer. I'm showing you the photo of her that was produced on a website, a Facebook page. It's Exhibit 38, if you would like to see it in full color.

My father, the longtime CEO of Channel Nine, WFTV, lived to be 89. I'm 72 and a half and I no

longer expect to get in to the eighties because of this accident.

These photos are from Facebook pages set up in the names of my deceased parents that were then used to defame me in Texas. I sued. And Astrin wants you -- wants this Court to believe I was wrong in the case for over 50,000 defamatory statements that were published about me online.

You don't want to accept the evidence, Your Honor, but I filed the evidence proving that I never did anything wrong in Texas. I never done anything wrong anywhere. I, again, ask you to consider accepting the 400 exhibits that I'm sure will make you apoplectic when I tell you they total 14,087 pages. Are you again refusing to accept this evidence?

THE COURT: Sir, I'm not here to answer your questions. Please go ahead.

MR. WINDSOR: Your Honor, may I ask you one question? Have you ever --

THE COURT: No.

MR. WINDSOR: -- ruled for a pro se plaintiff?

THE COURT: Sir, as I said, I'm not here to answer your questions. Please go ahead and try to

-- and show cause.

MR. WINDSOR: The financial information filed with the US Supreme Court shown in Exhibit 362 shows that I was granted in forma pauperis status last year. I have approximately \$5,000 less than I had then. Those were Social Security funds that I spent because of this nightmare.

I should file bankruptcy. But if I do I will lose my right to recover in this case. I was just diagnosed with a need for expenditures of \$15,013 in dental expense. That would wipe out all of my Social Security savings and my bank balance, which is \$287.61.

I have no way to deliver my evidence to Mr. Astrin because he uses a bogus address. He doesn't -- his address for the Bar association is fake. And I have filed, I believe before your deadline, documents from the Hillsborough County Sheriff's Department attesting to that.

This is the umpteenth attempt by the scurrilous attorneys for the defendants to knock me out of this case because they know they can't compete with me and the facts. They tried this signature gambit before and Judge Marshall Kest denied it. Wow. A ruling in my favor in this

case. I'm going to get that one framed.

Then they tried to have me declared mentally incompetent in an effort that has never before happened in a US court. And you, Your Honor, you let them get away with it.

When you look up frivolous motions in an encyclopedia in the future, you will probably see the motion to have a plaintiff declared mentally incompetent in a case. Textbook frivolous. No basis in law or facts.

Attorneys Astrin and Wynne should be disbarred and I will do my very best to try to see that happen just as I will do my best to file a vicious complaint against you with the judicial conduct commission.

Then Wynne and Astrin tried to get the case dismissed alleging there was a federal court order that prohibited a man disabled by an 18-wheeler from suing the trucking company and the truck driver. It's equally outrageous.

What I'm here to defend today is the plaintiff. It's an effort to make me one in 16,614,189. There is as many as 332,283 -- 332,283,778 people in America who could be plaintiffs. Only 19 of those people, who aren't

prisoners or attorneys under disbarment proceedings have had an order entered denying them the right to represent themselves.

My Exhibit 366 shows I do not meet the criteria of the 19 people previously denied the constitutional right to represent themselves. And that and another number in sequence was previously filed with this Court.

My Exhibit 356 lists the legal authority for the bar motion. Here it is. Can you get a good look at that, Your Honor? It's a blank sheet of paper. There is no legal authority for the bar motion.

The bar motion is filled with false and deceptive information and may not be considered. It was not provided in an affidavit or under oath in any manner. It may not be considered in relation to the order to show cause. It's not allowed to be considered in relation to the order to show cause. And I have cited case law to that effect.

The defendants may not present any evidence at the hearing on this order to show cause, which is great because you -- Astrin filed defendants' emergency motion to require my submissions to be

approved on February 17. O-M-G. An emergency.

He didn't seem to explain the emergency. I found Astrin seems to be a pathological liar. He may have the mental disorders of mythomania or pseudologia fantastica. I will spell that for the court reporter later.

THE COURT: Mr. Windsor, I'm sorry, but just procedurally, you can't have conversations with the court reporter and change anything, so go ahead and spell it for her now.

MR. WINDSOR: Yes, sir. Thank you.

M-Y-T-H-O-M-A-N-I-A, mythomania.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. WINDSOR: Pseudologia Fantastica,

P-S-E-U-D-O-L-O-G-I-A, second word,

F-A-N-T-A-S-T-I-C-A.

You issued, apparently an order to show cause on March 2 that was never served on me. You would have to serve an order to show cause. It wasn't. I obtained a copy from Lisa Davis who works for Mr. Astrin in some unknown location in America.

The order to show cause claims, quote, defendants request the issuance of an order to show cause, unquote. But the defendants' motion makes no such request. Exhibit 355. I e-mailed the

attorneys for the defendants and your judicial assistant requesting any such requests.

The docket doesn't show any such requests.

Neither your assistant nor the attorneys responded.

On March 3 you entered an order denying my motion for reconsideration of my motion to strike the bar motion. On March 10, I filed a motion for a 16-hour hearing that hasn't been addressed. It would take 16 hours for me to present the evidence.

You responded to that through an e-mail but you didn't respond to the motion that was filed which would put it on the court record for the court of appeals.

On March 12 I filed a verified motion to strike the answer and amended answer, enter a decree pro confesso, enter a judgment in favor of the plaintiff and schedule a jury trial for damages.

Do you want to get this case moving along?

You rule on that. They'd lose. And then we go to a jury and it's all done.

On March 16 I filed a verified affidavit dated March 12. I don't have time to discuss it because you've limited me to 12 minutes while trying to save my life.

It addresses all of the false statements in the bar motion, which is what I call this motion that they've filed. It cites evidence and debunks all the frivolous claims of Astrin. On March 16 I filed a verified motion to strike the pleadings and order sanctions again, an action that would cause this case to come to an end and just go to the jury for damages.

On March 17 I filed a motion to find the defendants in contempt. It hasn't been set. On March 25 you entered an order denying my right to file anything in this case unless signed by a member of the Florida Bar. That's something that is without any justification in history.

A judge can't do something like that. You've violated complete total due process. No opportunity to be heard, just a false order. On March 27 I filed a petition for reprobation in the district court of appeal Fifth District. Those are Exhibits 331 and 332.

Your Honor, how much more time do I have?

THE COURT: You have five minutes.

MR. WINDSOR: All right. The order to show cause is legally deficient. The bar motion has no legal basis whatsoever. The bar motion fails to

meet the requirements for the entry of an injunction, which is what an order of this type is.

The defendants do not have standing to seek this injunction. I've quoted case law. They haven't filed a counterclaim. They have no basis.

The relief requested in the bar motion fails to show how the defendants would suffer irreparable harm. They will get their butts beat in the case, but I don't think that qualifies it as irreparable harm because they don't have any right to file for an injunction.

The relief requested in the bar motion fails to show that no adequate legal remedy exists. The relief requested in the bar motion fails to show that the defendants have any legal right to the relief requested, much less a clear legal right.

So the bar motion fails to show the injunction will serve the public interest. The bar motion is not verified or supported by affidavit. And the order to show cause must be stricken. The bar motion failed to present any claims that would justify such a filing.

19 people in the history of the state of Florida have been denied that right. They did all types of incorrigible things. All I've done is

file evidence.

Judge, you obliterated the rights to due process by ordering against me, as I mentioned before. You claim that I filed a bunch of pages and that they were completely improper affidavits of exhibits totalling 1,670 pages. Well, I've now filed 14,087 pages. So if you have a heart condition, I hope you've got paramedics standing by.

The truth is, Your Honor, I filed motions totalling 23 pages and 15 and a half pages of sworn affidavits. All of the rest was evidence, evidence necessitated by the bar motion.

Scurrilous and dishonest and perhaps mentally ill, Astrin claims that I filed -- I sent all types of e-mails doing all types of things. Well, I've printed out and numbered and now have submitted into evidence all of those e-mails for the purpose of showing that it's bogus.

I did a complete analysis of it to show that it's bogus. I also did an analysis of the motions and various pleadings filed in the case to show that nothing was wrong.

I don't have time, but I have a list of the things that you claim were objectionable. Motion

to strike a strange docket entry, motion requesting adequate time to respond to the order to show cause. I don't have time to go through them all.

But they're not improper. There's nothing improper of not a one of them. But you don't care. You're here just to try to send me to an early grave. You have done 13 orders in this case.

Your Honor, guess how many of them were in my favor. A big goose egg, not a one. You've never allowed me to have a hearing. I wish I knew whether you've been paid to do this, because if I was an insurance company facing three and a half million dollars in jury awards, I'd pay, probably. Because I would be a corrupt insurance company.

As a private individual, I wouldn't do it because it's against the law. It may just be that you don't like pro se parties, pro se plaintiffs. Heaven forbid. A pro se plaintiff who is more intelligent than the attorneys for the insurance company.

If you hear that rumbling sound -- I don't know if you can hear it there -- I hear it here. That's the sound of our forefathers turning over in their graves at the thought of you granting this motion. I don't think you have jurisdiction to

grant this motion.

I'm not an expert on jurisdiction, but I guess we will let the appellate courts or the Supreme Court of the United States decide that.

Since the motion to disqualify was filed you have done a host of horrible things, and I wish I had time to go through those. You violated my constitutional rights. There is no provision in the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration for a circuit court judge to issue an order to show cause in a civil case of auto negligence regarding a matter that is not criminal.

I've gone through the various statutes.

There is no such statute. The bar motion alleges facts, but it's not verified and must be stricken.

The bar motion is unsigned and must be denied without hearing. The bar motion must be denied due to the law of the case and/or collateral estoppel because they tried to do this before and they were denied.

THE COURT: All right, sir. Your 20 minutes is up.

Now is the ten minutes for the Court's questions. Mr. Windsor, attached to the filing by

the defense are documents from a case titled William Windsor versus Coach House at Leesburg Condominium Association, 2019-CA-1528. Is that William Windsor you?

MR. WINDSOR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We also have been given a copy of a litigation history from the Northern District of Georgia. It is a -- let's see. It involves 55 cases cited. Are those all you?

MR. WINDSOR: I don't know, Your Honor. I didn't file 55 cases.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have the documents filed by Counsel?

MR. WINDSOR: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WINDSOR: Counsel can't file any documents because he didn't comply with the order -- instructions set by your assistant.

THE COURT: No, sir, that wasn't my question.

How many cases do you believe you have filed in the 11th Circuit -- let's see, 11th Circuit Court of Appeals?

I misspoke. It's a list of 57 -- 55 cases filed in the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals. Is

that you?

MR. WINDSOR: Just a second, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. WINDSOR: Sorry, Your Honor. I do suffer from a cognitive decline that makes it impossible for me to remember if I just took my pills.

THE COURT: Well, sir, since you've raised that, if you suffer from that cognitive decline so that you can -- as you said you can't remember -- you have no short-term memory, how is it that you can represent yourself if you can't remember whether you took your pills a few minutes ago?

MR. WINDSOR: Because I'm fortunate to have been the son of an off-the-charts genius, so I'm extremely intelligent.

THE COURT: Okay. That explains it. Go ahead. The 11th Circuit Court of Appeals question.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ WINDSOR: I only remember things that are important.

THE COURT: I see. Does 55 cases sound about right?

MR. WINDSOR: No, Your Honor, it doesn't.

Exhibit 370 is a memorandum of law on federal

courts --

THE COURT: Hold on, sir. How many cases

have you filed in the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals?

MR. WINDSOR: Maybe one.

THE COURT: Okay. There's also reference to Texas -- a case in Texas. Was that you?

MR. WINDSOR: Yes, Your Honor. Definitely.

THE COURT: All right. And you are the person that Chief Judge Tom Gray wrote about. It says, Windsor exhibited a flagrant disregard of the legislature enacted and the rules of the judicial branch and has at every turn demonstrated a complete disregard for the orderly administration of justice.

That's you, correct?

MR. WINDSOR: Yes. And he was not joined in that by his other judges. I have a complete explanation. Exhibit 382 is a motion to recuse Judge Tom Gray and Exhibit 381 is information about case 10-14-00355. And Exhibit 383 is an affidavit regarding actions and --

THE COURT: You are -- we also have a Supreme Court of the State of Montana, Shawn Boushie versus William Windsor. Is that you?

MR. WINDSOR: Yes, sir. That's a man who attempted to murder me, yes, in 2013.

THE COURT: All right. We also have from the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals in Texas, William Windsor versus Sam Round, Kelly McDougal and Joey is a Little Kid. Is that you?

MR. WINDSOR: Yes, Your Honor. We kind of already discussed that.

THE COURT: That's the one we talked about before. Okay. And we already talked about Coach House. That's you. Okay. And that's Coach House. All right. Let's see what the last one is here. That's our case. All right. That's all the questions I have for you, sir.

We will now turn to the opportunity for counsel for the defendants to make their statements. Sir, you may proceed.

MR. WINDSOR: May I make one comment regarding what you just --

THE COURT: Yeah. Short? As long as it's short, yeah.

MR. WINDSOR: I've never filed anything that wasn't based on the facts or the law. And I have provided information that you aren't looking at that explains why each of these cases is not appropriate. But more important --

THE COURT: That's it. Very brief. Go

ahead, Mr. --

MR. WINDSOR: What happens --

THE COURT: Mr. Windsor.

Mr. Astrin, it's your turn.

MR. ASTRIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Let me state on the record, before I proceed, in response to Mr. Windsor's 20 minutes of testimony, argument, whatever you want to call it, he did not provide any evidence or any legal authority as to why this motion should not be granted or your order to show cause.

Let me first start off, Mr. Windsor mentioned numerous times that you were preventing himself.

That is not true. The order to show cause in defendants' motion is simply to require him to have a member of the Florida Bar review, approve and sign his pleadings before they're filed.

No one is indicating that he cannot -- or requesting that he cannot represent himself in this matter. He can represent himself all day long. The filing of the pleadings, the frivolous pleadings, the pleadings without legal merit, the pleadings that simply spend hours and hours of disrespecting, disparaging Your Honor, which he's done today numerous times, your judicial assistant,

Ms. Davis, myself, Mr. Wynne and my staff. Those filings of the pleadings and the e-mails and documents are taxing not only on our office but to the Court and it's preventing, I'm sure, the Court from a judicial economic process as well as on our end.

Mr. Windsor indicated that there was no authority for Your Honor to grant this motion. That is not true. As we know, you're already indicated, Your Honor, Judge Mosley from Lake County and Judge Singletary have already entered orders to this effect in great detail.

Mr. Windsor continues to disregard orders that have been entered by this Court, having an attorney review the pleadings for approval before filing will prevent this from going further or any other disrespect or disregard of the current orders being entered.

Mr. Windsor mentioned several times that he cannot afford an attorney. This is a personal injury case. We can sit here all day, but we know television, radio, print, bus stops, buses, taxis, billboards, many attorneys -- most all attorneys will accept a case on a retainer agreement and collect at the end of the case when it's settled.

I was going to point out the numerous allegations that Mr. Windsor has made in his pleadings. He's done that for me today with regard to his feelings toward Your Honor, his feelings toward myself and Mr. Wynne.

I'm a little concerned, though, Your Honor, something that we may take up on another hearing, but I would ask you to consider as part of this hearing today, the allegations that we're trying to murder Mr. Windsor. That is first time in my 25 years I've ever heard that.

This is a simple auto accident. Two vehicles involved. My client's vehicle and Mr. Windsor's vehicle. We have a right to defend the case from the liability standpoint and the damage standpoint, as you know.

You've probably had hundreds of automobile accident trials. These are issues of fact for the jury to determine. They're not issues to be determined in 55 motions and 14,000 documents that have nothing to do with the auto accident itself.

My motion was to be heard tomorrow. We're taking care of it today. So I know that hearing has been cancelled, which is fine. But I wanted to bring up and I did have it in my amended motion for

tomorrow, the fact that Mr. Windsor continues to violate Judge Kest's order of October 20 requiring him to adhere to the rules of professional conduct in the Ninth Circuit.

In my amended motion I indicated that Mr. Windsor filed a document, a pleading motion on February 26, 2021 and throughout the entire motion he changed my last name to A-S-S-T-R-I-N instead of A-S-T-R-I-N. That is uncalled for. That is why we need an attorney to review his pleadings.

He is held to the level of an attorney if he wants to represent himself. He's violated Judge Kest's order directly. And I'm requesting, as to my motion for him to be sanctioned and award a fee against him toward me of \$10,000 for his behavior.

We have to do something to stop this behavior going forward, and today is the day. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're welcome.

I will give you five more minutes, Mr. Windsor. Any last thing you want to say? At issue here is your conduct and your ability to work within the rules that regulate, not only the Florida Bar and the judiciary but pro se litigants. Go ahead.

MR. WINDSOR: Okay. There's never been a case in Florida, and I've previously cited this to the Court in filings that were where anything that happened in another state flies. So let's just put all of that aside.

THE COURT: Hold on, sir. Isn't this exactly what happened to you in Lake County? You say there's never been a case in the state of Florida but you are the case in the state of Florida, aren't you?

MR. WINDSOR: Yeah. The point is, these people are looking at things that happened in other states and saying that they apply. The 19 people that have been denied that right, only one was a case that even mentioned anything that happened in other states. And when you read the case you realize it wasn't on point.

THE COURT: Sir, are you including yourself among those 19 people?

MR. WINDSOR: No, I'm number 20.

THE COURT: Okay. So there have been 20 people that have been denied the right to file, you being one.

MR. WINDSOR: Right. And those other are on appeal or will be on appeal. I think I may just

have to go to the Supreme Court directly or the US Supreme Court to say that you just can't do this.

THE COURT: So that's one of the issues I'm having with you is if you were a lawyer you wouldn't say that because you would know that that's not how it works. And, see, that's why I'm concerned about the progress of your litigation is because you appear to have become so wrapped up in a personal argument with Counsel or with me that you've lost sight of the actual lawsuit itself.

Because all the stuff that you're doing is not advancing your lawsuit. It's not getting you to a favorable resolution. And a lawyer would know that. And that's my concern is that you have become so obsessed with a battle with the lawyer or with the judge that you completely lose sight of the endgame, which is getting your case prepared, ready and presented to a jury.

And so explain to me why that's going to change if I don't grant this motion.

MR. WINDSOR: Well, I filed three motions, two of which are dispositive on the case. So you hear those motions, do the right thing and grant them and we --

THE COURT: They have no basis in law. I've

looked at them. If you were a lawyer you would know that. But I understand that you've done some research and you're obviously a very bright man, but that's the difficulty here, is that right now I'm presented with a choice, either allow this thing to continue to spiral out of control or require you to have a lawyer help you get this case to move forward.

I'm looking for some sign from you that you understand that what you've been doing cannot continue. But I don't see that in you.

MR. WINDSOR: Your Honor, Mr. Astrin said I can easily go to somebody that I see on TV or whatever or words to that effect. I don't want to quote it out of context. I went to Morgan and Morgan. I went to a couple of others and they declined.

THE COURT: Well, you had Morgan and Morgan, right?

MR. WINDSOR: No, I had Dan Newlin.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Dan Newlin. Excuse me. My mistake.

MR. WINDSOR: Morgan and Morgan, a couple other people whose name escape me. They said, no, too much has been done. They wouldn't handle it.

I do not intend to change a thing, in terms of how I handle the case. I haven't done anything wrong. You have no basis to order that I have to have a lawyer sign my pleadings.

I'm not allowed to take discovery on these.

I'm limited to something like one hour in a

deposition. The restrictions placed on me are
ridiculous.

THE COURT: Who limited you to an hour for depositions?

MR. WINDSOR: You did after refusing to reconsider something entered by Judge Kest.

THE COURT: So Judge Kest made a ruling. Okay.

MR. WINDSOR: So I'm -- they're being allowed to commit contempt. They're being allowed to refuse to provide documents and evidence and various other things. They won't let me have one hour to depose somebody that needs to be deposed.

I believe that there's all types of wrongdoing with their alleged expert medical person but I don't have the time or the basis to pursue that.

So the only thing I can do is hope that the appropriate

rights to pursue this case. I have filed evidence. I have filed motions that I believe are appropriate. The motions that I filed that are dispositive I believe are valid based upon the research I did.

And I just don't read one case, Your Honor.

If I find the case I read them all. And if I find that I'm traveling down the wrong path, I back off.

And that hasn't happened. And you've allowed them to file things that are not under oath, do not have a basis and are -- do not have legal support.

THE COURT: Did you appeal the judge's ruling in the Lake County case to the Fifth DCA?

MR. WINDSOR: I have appealed mostly -- and to be honest, because of all the work I've had to do to prepare for this, I haven't even had a chance to look to see what Singletary did.

But since February 19, I have never been served with anything. Someone has stopped me from being able to receive anything filed in the E-portal and I've called that to everyone's attention. So my first response there will be they had no right to issue anything because I wasn't served, just as I wasn't served in this case. And there's a requirement that you have to be served.

THE COURT: Well, sir, this is another area that you fail to understand the subtlety of. But if you were going to be held in contempt you'd be correct. But the order to show cause was simply to show cause why I shouldn't grant a motion.

That has a different legal implication. So this is just another example of how what you interpret something a certain way that isn't accurate and if you were an attorney you would understand more how those things happen.

MR. WINDSOR: Let me speak to Astrin's concern over misspelling his name. He never brought that to my attention.

THE COURT: Come on, sir. Are you trying to -- don't pull my leg and tell me --

MR. ASTRIN: Your Honor, I've been sitting here for 45 minutes now. I'm not going to put up with this anymore. Mr. Windsor, stop. You did it on purpose. My name was spelled correctly at the beginning. Let me say one thing real quick regarding it's either Judge Mosley's or Judge Singletary's court case.

He's already filed pleadings in opposition or in contempt of the order. And now they have another order or another motion pending for his

contempt and you're asking for the case to be dismissed.

Your Honor, I think we're getting close to this case here being dismissed by you based on his actions and his --

THE COURT: That's the next thing I was going to address. Mr. Windsor, I just want you to sit there and -- if you want to tell me under oath that -- you're misspelling Mr. Astrin's name wasn't deliberate? Is that what you want to tell me?

MR. WINDSOR: Because clearly spell check doesn't check the name Astrin. And --

THE COURT: Let's move on here. I don't want to end up dismissing your case but this can't go on.

MR. ASTRIN: Your Honor --

THE COURT: That's the next level.

MR. WINDSOR: Florida rules of professional conduct, a pro se party, anyone who is not an attorney has no obligation in any way, shape or form -- I've tried to be very respectful and follow all of those rules, but there's no rule that I can't call Mr. Astrin an ass and there's no rule that I have to do anything that's -- they violated these rules repeatedly and you've sanctioned it.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 1 2 MR. ASTRIN: Your Honor --3 THE COURT: Give Mr. Astrin a little bit more time since we have a little bit more. 4 5 Go ahead, sir. MR. ASTRIN: Your Honor, I'm making an ore 6 7 tenus motion for you to dismiss this case today. 8 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to do that 9 yet. 10 MR. ASTRIN: Okay. Well, I'll file a written 11 motion for you to dismiss the case and you can do 12 it then. 13 THE COURT: I don't know if I will or not. 14 You can go ahead and file whatever you want, sir. 15 MR. ASTRIN: He will need to pay me \$10,000 16 for that, Judge. 17 THE COURT: I'm not doing that yet either. We will take it one step at a time. 18 19 Now, Mr. Windsor, any last words about --20 well, I mean, you pretty much said it. You feel 21 that the way you've done things is perfectly fine and you're not going to change. Is that what I 22 23 understand? 24 MR. WINDSOR: Yes, sir. And I have provided 25 all of the documentation and the law in my filings

will show that this shouldn't go on today. If we had time I would go through each and every thing that I've filed and spell those out for you.

Mr. Astrin hasn't presented anything. I presented it all and I've tried to be as polite as I could be about the -- but I'm limited in the time, the very little time given to defend against having my rights taken away.

THE COURT: Well, sir, I want to reiterate what Mr. Astrin has said, that this case cannot go forward, but you've got to make a choice. You can either continue the way you have been, which -- well, I mean, you can't, if I grant the motion; you just can't do anything.

You cannot get around it by sending e-mails to my judicial assistant. We're going to block you from communicating that way. But we are more than, more than happy to consider any motion that you file which is signed and approved by a member of the Florida Bar.

So the motion is granted. The interim order that I filed will be extended to be a permanent order in this case. Mr. Astrin, if you would like to prepare a proposed order and send it to me, please send it in Word because I'm sure I will be

making changes and additions to it.

Send a copy of that to Mr. Windsor as well so that he will know what you submitted to me. I will submit the order. In the interim, I'm going to prepare a brief order extending my prior order until the new order is written and fully done.

Mr. Windsor, I hope that you get an attorney involved in this case, because I think there's a case here that needs to be litigated. But the case needs to be litigated not on personalities.

So that is the ruling of the Court. Thank you all very much. Mr. Astrin, I'm looking forward to seeing your proposed order.

Mr. Windsor, I don't -- I mean, I don't -- if
I ask you not to e-mail my JA anymore, will you do
it?

MR. WINDSOR: Your Honor, I have only e-mailed her when necessary. But she is listed as one of the contacts on the E-portal and I would be happy to remove her from that --

THE COURT: Mr. Windsor, you e-mailed my JA 214 times since Thursday. Since Thursday.

MR. WINDSOR: Absolutely not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, you're calling my secretary a liar and I know that she's telling me the truth

about that, so that ain't going to happen. So I'll block you from e-mail, again. If you have a lawyer that wants to communicate with us on your behalf, we will be happy to speak with him.

MR. ASTRIN: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I know we're wrapping up. I'm not sure what that was, but okay.

THE COURT: It was an accusation that I'm corrupt.

MR. WINDSOR: I'm putting it in writing that you're being bribed at the courthouse.

MR. ASTRIN: He put it writing that you're being bribed.

THE COURT: Let me put it to you this way,

Mr. Windsor. You wouldn't be the first and you're

not going to be the last.

MR. ASTRIN: Wait. Let me talk.

MR. WINDSOR: I'm going to make it my mission to expose you and Astrin.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Windsor, that is a threat against this Court --

MR. ASTRIN: And myself.

THE COURT: Mr. Windsor, there is a limit. I have let you go on at length, but you have just threatened a member of the judiciary and that is a

matter which is contemptuous.

Now, sir, would you like to withdraw that comment or would you like to leave it in the record and face contempt? Because that, sir, will not be tolerated. Threatening a member of the judiciary in a court hearing is not going to be permitted.

Sir, what would you like to say?

MR. WINDSOR: I would like to withdraw anything that indicated it was a threat. I withdraw it.

THE COURT: Very well. Now, Mr. Astrin, any one last word about anything procedural.

MR. ASTRIN: Yes, Your Honor. With regards to the proposed order, I would ask that you provide some guidance. When I submit the order, relative to consequences if Mr. Windsor is in contempt of the order, continuous repeated filings, pleadings without --

THE COURT: Well, the order is to the clerk that the clerk will not accept any further filings from Mr. Windsor unless they are signed and approved by a member of the Florida Bar.

So he can try all he wants, but the clerk will not accept them. That is the order that I have issued previously and I will issue again.

1 MR. ASTRIN: What is the effect if he 2 continues to file and send them to me? Do I 3 disregard them as well? THE COURT: Yes. I can't -- I can't -- let's 4 5 I can't control his communication with you, obviously. He will not be filing any further 6 7 documents of any legal significance without a 8 lawyer having filed and approved them. 9 MR. ASTRIN: All right, Your Honor. Thank 10 you very much. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. WINDSOR: May I have settings on my 13 motions? 14 THE COURT: Pardon me? 15 MR. WINDSOR: May I have settings on my 16 motions already filed? 17 THE COURT: Are they signed and approved by a 18 member of the Florida Bar? 19 MR. WINDSOR: No, they were submitted before 20 this. 21 THE COURT: They will not be considered 22 unless they are adopted and approved by a member of the Florida Bar. 23 24 MR. WINDSOR: So you're saying you're making 25 this order retroactive?

THE COURT: We will not set any matter for hearing unless a member of the Florida Bar has read and approved them. That is correct.

All right. That will conclude the proceedings in this case. Thank you all very much and I will now leave the meeting. Thank you.

MR. ASTRIN: Thanks, Your Honor.

(The foregoing proceedings were concluded at 11:27 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE 1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 COUNTY OF MARION I, KATRENIA L. HORISKI, Registered 4 5 Professional Reporter and Notary Public, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically 6 7 report the foregoing proceedings in the above-styled cause; and that pages 3 through 41, is a true record of 8 9 my stenographic notes. 10 I further certify that I am not a relative, 11 employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, 12 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' 13 attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I 14 financially interested in the action. 15 Dated this 14th day of April, 2021. 16 s/Katrenia L. Horiski 17 KATRENIA L. HORISKI, RPR, FPR 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25