

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

MOTION TO STRIKE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

EXHIBITS – PART 5

APPENDIX INDEX

- APPENDIX 1 -- Complaint to initiate Case No. 2018-CA-01270-O in the Ninth Judicial Circuit in Orange County, Florida filed by Dan Newlin on 9/20/2018. [Page 10.]
- APPENDIX 2 -- Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Stay or Continuance filed 1/27/2021. [Page 16.]
- APPENDIX 3 -- Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order Denying Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Stay or Continuance entered on 1/28/2021. [Page 85.]
- APPENDIX 4 -- Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Emergency Motion for Stay/Continuance 1/28/2021. [Page 88.]
- APPENDIX 5 -- Plaintiff's Second Emergency Motion for Stay and/or Continuance filed 1/30/2021. [Page 92.]
- APPENDIX 6 -- Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Orders of Judge John Marshall Kest filed 1/31/2021. [P. 97.]
- APPENDIX 7 -- Plaintiff's Amended Motion for Reconsideration of Orders of Judge John Marshall Kest filed 1/31/2021. [Page 101.]
- APPENDIX 8 -- Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order Denying Plaintiff's Second EMERGENCY Motion for Stay and/or Continuance entered on 2/1/2021. [Page 167.]
- APPENDIX 9 -- Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order Denying Plaintiff's Amended Motion for Reconsideration of Orders of Judge Kest entered 2/1/2021. [Page 169.]
- APPENDIX 10 -- Verified Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated February 1, 2021 filed 2/2/2021. [Page 171.]

- APPENDIX 11 – Windsor’s Verified Motion to Disqualify Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton filed 2/2/2021. [Page 206.]
- APPENDIX 12 – Windsor’s Affidavit of Prejudice of Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton filed on 2/2/2021. [Page 220.]
- APPENDIX 13 – Court Minutes dated 2/2/2021. [Page 246.]
- APPENDIX 14 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order Denying Petitioner’s Motion to Disqualify entered 2/2/2021. [Page 249.]
- APPENDIX 15 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order Granting Defendants’ Motion for Attorney’s Fees and Costs signed 2/2/2021. [Page 252.]
- APPENDIX 16 – Windsor’s Motion for Reconsideration of February 4, 2021 Order of Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton filed on 2/2/2021. [Page 255.]
- APPENDIX 17 – Windsor’s Notice of Filing Petition for Writ of Prohibition filed on 2/15/2021. [Page 307.]
- APPENDIX 18 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration of February 4, 2021 Order of Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton entered on 2/16/2021. [Page 361.]
- APPENDIX 19 – Defendants’ Robert Keith Longest and Boise Cascade Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Plaintiff William Windsor’s Submissions to the Court be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and Memorandum of Law was filed on February 17, 2021 (“BAR MOTION”). [Page 363.]

APPENDIX 20 – Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Strike Defendants' Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Plaintiff, William Windsor's Submissions and/or Pleadings to the Court Be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and Memorandum of Law filed 2/18/2021. [Page 415.]

APPENDIX 21 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Amended Order Denying the Motion for Reconsideration on February 4, 2021 Order of Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton entered 2/19/2021. [Page 459.]

APPENDIX 22 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order denying the Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Strike Defendants' Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Plaintiff, William Windsor's Submissions and/or Pleadings to the Court Be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and Memorandum of Law entered 2/23/2021. [Page 461.]

APPENDIX 23 – Judicial Assistant Keitra Davis emailed Windsor to say he needed to write a letter to the judge explaining why he needed 16 hours for the Show Cause Hearing dated 2/25/2021. [Page 464.]

APPENDIX 24 – Windsor sent the letter to Judicial Assistant Keitra Davis to explain why he needed 16 hours for the Show Cause Hearing dated 2/26/2021. [Page 470.]

APPENDIX 25 – Windsor Memorandum of Law Regarding Pleadings
Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar filed
2/25/2021. [Page 474.]

APPENDIX 26 – Windsor Motion for Reconsideration of Plaintiff's
Emergency Motion to Strike Defendants Robert
Keith Longest and Boise Cascade Emergency Motion
to Require Pro Se Plaintiff William Windsor's
Submissions to the Court be Reviewed, Approved
and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and
Memorandum of Law filed 2/26/2021. [Page 563.]

APPENDIX 27 – Judicial Assistant Keitra Davis emailed to say
Windsor's request for 16 hours to present his
response to the Order to Show Cause was denied.
She said the hearing would be one hour dated
3/2/2021. [Page 598.]

APPENDIX 28 – Order to Show Cause issued by Judge Jeffrey L.
Ashton dated 3/2/2021 but not discovered by
Windsor until 3/10/2021. [Page 602.]

APPENDIX 29 – Windsor email to Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton's judicial
assistant, Keitra Davis, and the attorneys for the
Defendants requesting any request for the issuance
of an Order to Show Cause as claimed in the Order
to Show Cause claims as the Defendants' Motion
makes no such request. [Page 605.]

- APPENDIX 30 – Court Docket does not show any request for the issuance of an Order to Show Cause as claimed in the Order to Show Cause. [Page 606.]
- APPENDIX 31 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order denying Windsor’s Motion for Reconsideration of Plaintiff’s Emergency Motion to Strike Defendants Robert Keith Longest and Boise Cascade Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Plaintiff William Windsor’s Submissions to the Court be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and Memorandum of Law entered 3/3/2021. [Page 637.]
- APPENDIX 32 – Windsor Motion for 16-Hour Hearing filed 3/10/2021. [Page 640.]
- APPENDIX 33 – Deputy Clerk signed a Subpoena Duces Tecum for Deposition of David Wynne on 3/12/2021. [P. 644]
- APPENDIX 34 – Deputy Clerk signed a Subpoena Duces Tecum for Deposition Scott L. Astrin on 3/12/2021. [P. 656.]
- APPENDIX 35 – Windsor discovered a strange entry on the Court’s Docket on 3/12/2021. It said “to Require Pro Se Plaintiff Windsor’s Submissions to the Court be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and Memorandum of Law and Motion to Find Pro Se Plaintiff Willima.” [Page 668.]
- APPENDIX 36 – Email to the Judicial Assistant and the attorneys for Defendants about the strange entry on the Court’s Docket dated 3/12/2021. [Page 670.]

- APPENDIX 37 – Windsor Motion to Strike the Strange Hidden
Docket Entry filed 3/12/2021. [Page 672.]
- APPENDIX 38 – Plaintiff's Verified Motion to Strike Answer and
Amended Answer; Enter a Decree Pro Confesso;
enter Judgment in Favor of the Plaintiff; and
Schedule the Jury Trial for Damages filed
3/12/2021. [Page 676.]
- APPENDIX 39 – Defendants' Motion for Protective Order to stop
Depositions filed 3/15/2021. [Page 780.]
- APPENDIX 40 – Exhibits to Verified Affidavit of William M. Windsor
dated March 12, 2021 filed 3/16/2021. [Page 783.]
- APPENDIX 41 – Plaintiff's Verified Motion to Strike Pleadings and
Award Sanctions. Pursuant to Rules 2.515, 2.516,
and 2.520 of the Florida Rules of Judicial
Administration; and the Court's Inherent Powers
filed 3/16/2021. [Page 796.]
- APPENDIX 42 – Verified Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated
March 12, 2021 filed 3/17/2021. [Page 818.]
- APPENDIX 43 – Verified Affidavit of William M. Windsor regarding
Prior Sworn Statements filed 3/17/2021. [P. 841.]
- APPENDIX 44 – Plaintiff's Motion to find Defendant Boise Cascade
Building Materials Distribution, L.L.C. and
Defendant Robert Keith Longest in Contempt filed
3/17/2021. [Page 846.]
- APPENDIX 45 – Windsor Motion for Accommodations for Senior
Citizens with Disabilities filed 3/18/2021. [P.873.]

- APPENDIX 46 – Windsor Motion to Declare He is Not Obligated to Comply with the Florida Handbook on Civil Discovery or the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct filed 3/18/2021. [Page 883.]
- APPENDIX 47 – Windsor Motion to Declare that All Statements by Attorneys that Purport to be Facts in Pleadings or in Hearings Must be Stricken Unless the Attorney filed an Affidavit Sworn Under Penalty of Perjury or is at an Evidentiary Hearing when Sworn filed 3/18/2021. [Page 887.]
- APPENDIX 48 – Windsor Motion Regarding Pro Se Verifications filed 3/18/2021. [Page 893.]
- APPENDIX 49 – Windsor Motion to Compel Defendant and all Non-Parties to Comply with Florida Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 1.280 (B) (6) when producing documents filed 3/18/2021. [Page 897.]
- APPENDIX 50 – Windsor Motion to Compel Defendants and All Non-Parties to Produce Each Separate Item Requested for Production in a File Folder Marked to show the Date Requested and the Item Number of the Request filed 3/18/2021. [Page 901.]
- APPENDIX 51 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order granting the Defendants' Motion for Protective Order entered 3/24/2021. [Page 905.]
- APPENDIX 52 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton "Order on Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Answer and Amended Answer and

Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Strike Strange
Hidden Docket Entry and Memorandum of Law."

Entered 3/24/2021. [Page 907.]

APPENDIX 53 – Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton Order Denying Windsor the
right to file anything in this case unless signed by a
member of the Florida Bar 3/25/2021. [Page 909.]

APPENDIX 54 – Page 11 of the BAR MOTION marked to show where
the signature is supposed to be. [Page 912.]


APPENDIX 55 – Recent filing by Assistant State Attorney David Asti
to show the proper signature. [Page 914.]

APPENDIX 56 – Spreadsheet showing the 172 people denied the
right to file anything unless signed by a member of
the Florida Bar. [Page 920.]

APPENDIX 57 – Spreadsheet showing the nineteen (19) Florida
citizens who were not prisoners or attorneys denied
the right to file anything unless signed by a member
of the Florida Bar. [Page 932.]

PLEASE NOTE: Large exhibits can be accessed on the Orange
County Clerk website.

Submitted this 27th day of March, 2021.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William M. Windsor", written over a horizontal line.

William M. Windsor

100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988

windsorinmontana@yahoo.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com

Appendix 42

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,


Defendants.

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

NOTICE OF FILING AFFIDAVIT OF
WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12, 2021

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files
this Notice of Filing Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated March 12, 2021.

This 17th day of March, 2021.



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
bill@billwindsor.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States

Postal Service to:

David I. Wynne and Scott L. Astrin
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605
Tampa, Florida 33602
david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com
813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110
Fax: 813-649-8362

This 17th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
bill@billwindsor.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12, 2021

I, William M. Windsor, the undersigned, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. I am over the age of 21, a living person, am competent to testify, and have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
2. I am 72½-years-old and in poor health since the Defendants' 18-wheeler hit me at 70-miles-per-hour. I am in constant pain from nine herniated disks in my neck and back, and walking is a problem. I use a cane, but I can't go far due to the pain. I also have an inoperable abdominal injury – Diastasis Recti.
3. I was in very good shape before the accident. The damage done to me requires that I now sleep on a couch with my back pressed up against the cushions on the back of the couch for support. This blocks me from the pain of turning in the night. I can sleep only two hours or so at a time. Once I wake up, I find it difficult to get back to sleep. The abdominal injury causes me to cough repeatedly when reclining. I have to reach a state of exhaustion before the need for sleep overcomes the cough.

4. My new life is sleeping two hours at a time with work for an hour or so until I can no longer keep my eyes open. Before the accident, I could sleep like a normal person in a bed and get six to eight-hours sleep a night.

5. I object to a short hearing in response to the so-called Order to Show Cause in this case. I am being denied my rights to have the outrageous acts of the judges in this case and the monumental wrongdoing of the Defendants and their attorneys properly considered by this Court.

6. I will file a new motion to disqualify Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton, and I will file an appeal. These are my legal rights.

7. In Paragraph 1 of the Defendants' Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Plaintiff, William Windsor's Submissions and/or Pleadings to the Court Be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar ("BAR MOTION"), Attorney Scott L. Astrin alleges without verification or affidavit:

"The above action arises out of a minor automobile accident that occurred on May 5, 2017."

8. The accident was not minor, and Attorney Scott L. Astrin should be sanctioned for this continued intentional infliction of emotional distress. My car was totaled. I was almost killed. I was literally inches from death when the Boise Cascade truck driven recklessly by Robert Keith Longest lifted my left two wheels off the pavement. I was in a little convertible, and if the truck had come another foot into my lane, I would have rolled over, and my head would have been smeared all across the Florida Turnpike. I received four herniated disks in my back and five in my neck. I have an inoperable abdominal injury – Diastasis Recti. Scott L. Astrin calls this a minor accident as part of his malicious campaign to inflict pain on me every way he can.

9. In Paragraph 3 of the BAR MOTION, Attorney Scott L. Astrin alleges without verification or affidavit:

"During the past eleven (11) months, Mr. Windsor has sent voluminous emails and filed numerous pleadings and associated documents, which number well in excess of 2,500 pages."

10. I have sent 212 email threads in the 903 days since this case began. There is no statute or rule that addresses emails, and certainly not email size. I have never sent anything excessive by email. Attorney Scott L. Astrin falsely and maliciously claims my emails are voluminous. Merriam-Webster defines voluminous as "having great bulk." He has failed to identify a single voluminous email. That's because there are none. EXHIBIT A contains every email I have ever sent. The average email is a few sentences long, which is defined as UNvoluminous.

11. 14 of the emails were sent by me when Attorney David I. Wynne was refusing to communicate me under the pretense that he didn't have authority to do so. 21 of my emails were attempts at Settlement. There were responses to only 3 of the 21. 53 of the emails that I sent were regarding discovery, and these included transmissions of my medical information. 40 of my emails were regarding conferences necessitated by wrongdoing by Attorney Scott L. Astrin and Attorney David I. Wynne. 11 of my emails were unsuccessful attempts to arrange a continuation of the mediation. 33 of my emails were Service emails; I did not know how to do online filing, so I had to email the service notice. These total 172, so my net emails to the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS is only 40. 40 for me and 119 for the DEFENDANTS.

12. EXHIBIT B contains every email I have ever sent to or received from the Court. Most of these deal with hearing settings.

13. I have filed pleadings and associated documents. That's a necessity in litigation, and the volume of pleadings and associated documents is increased by dishonest attorneys like Attorney Scott L. Astrin and Attorney David I. Wynne. **EXHIBIT C** is a spreadsheet showing the DOCKET with additional information. This shows that I have filed nothing improper. There is no statute or rule that prohibits the filing of evidence. Column H on EXHIBIT C indicates the number of pages. The attorneys for the DEFENDANTS have filed only 166 pages of exhibits. I have filed 2,752 pages of exhibits. Each of my exhibits was referenced in my motions or responses, and I considered each of them essential to proving my filings.

14. A search of every Florida appellate case in history for "excessive evidence" or "excessive exhibits" reveals NO cases. There have been 57 cases in Florida appellate court history that match for "excessive evidence" or "excessive exhibits," but not one of the 57 cases found fault with the amount of evidence available.

15. In the history of the State of Florida, there appear to have been 172 people denied the right to file anything unless signed by a member of the Florida Bar. It is, however, unknown how many issued a **Show Cause** Order were ultimately restricted. EXHIBIT 2464 filed with my Memorandum of Law Regarding Pleadings Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar is a spreadsheet listing all 172. Of the 172 cases where a pro se plaintiff has been required to have their pleadings signed by a member of the Florida BAR, there has never been a case where the pro se plaintiff was sanctioned for filing excessive evidence.

1. 148 of those required to have pleadings signed a member of the Florida Bar were prisoners. 5 of the 172 were attorneys limited by The Florida Bar while disbarred. So, 19 were not prisoners or attorneys. The cases reviewed show there is no way in the world for this Court or any court to require me to have my pleadings signed by a member of the Florida Bar. Three

of the 19 had been declared Vexatious Litigants pursuant to Florida statutes. I cannot be so declared. I've never lost a Florida case. The 19 penalized people included a frivolous and flagrant attempt to circumvent the Court's previously entered sanction order. One plaintiff filed identical petitions in multiple cases in violation of a court order. I have not violated any court order, and I have never filed an identical petition. The other penalized Plaintiffs had 17 cases filed with no relief and determined frivolous; 85 cases filed; multiple meritless petitions; 22 cases showing a profound lack of understanding of the court system in general and of the appellate system in particular; 45 cases dismissed; 26 baseless Florida pleadings; four different Florida courts ordered pleadings signed by an attorney; numerous pleadings devoid of merit and failure to properly pursue actions; numerous meritless filings; 25 appellate proceedings found to have no merit; relitigating matters decided earlier and 12 federal court actions against judges. I have never had anything declared frivolous or baseless; I have never been found to have filed a meritless petition. I have had one case wrongfully dismissed, and it is on appeal. I have an excellent understanding of the court system; I have never filed an appellate proceeding found to have no merit.

16. In Paragraph 4 of the BAR MOTION, Attorney Scott L. Astrin alleges without verification or affidavit:

"The majority of the emails and pleadings filed by Mr. Windsor are harassing in nature, have no legal merit, have already been ruled on several times, question our clients character as well as the attorneys of records David Wynne and Scott Astrin, and disparage the presiding Judge and the judicial system. In addition, the majority of Mr. Windsor's emails end with the ongoing threat "I will file a Motion for Sanctions" if he does not get his way.

17. This is false and must be stricken. Attorney Scott L. Astrin and Attorney David I. Wynne have not identified any harassing emails or harassing pleadings. EXHIBIT A and the DOCKET provide proof.

18. EXHIBIT D is a spreadsheet listing all emails in chronological order. I have sent 212 email threads in the 903 days since this case began. Only 7 mention sanctions, and all 7 were Good Faith Conferences. So, the key basis for the Order to Show Cause is that I complied with the Rules and sent 7 emails as Good Faith Conferences that dealt with motions for sanctions. There must be a better word than frivolous. I don't think profanity is viewed favorably in court filings, but this is frigging frivolous.

19. In 172 cases where a pro se plaintiff has been required to have their pleadings signed by a member of the Florida BAR, there has never been a single case where the pro se plaintiff was sanctioned for having the Good Faith Conferences required by the Rules.

20. These are the emails I sent that mention "sanctions:"

- a. EXHIBIT 658 – June 2, 2020 -- Deposition of Dr Stephen Goll. "This will confirm your voice mail of June 1, 2020. You said Dr. Goll wants an \$800 per hour fee for a regular deposition and \$1,000 per hour for a video deposition. I have found nothing in the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure to require a party to pay a witness for the opposing party to testify in a deposition. If there is a rule or a law, please provide it to me. Please advise if you will pay him. If not, I will file a Motion to Compel and a Motion for Sanctions." This was a Good Faith Conference, but I discovered a rule about experts, so I ceased plans to seek sanctions. I notified Attorney Scott L. Astrin and Attorney David L. Wynne. These attorneys failed to provide the Rule to me as requested.
- b. EXHIBIT 714 – July 9, 2020 -- Medical Documents Not Produced (requested many times). "You have ignored this request for a month with me...and

apparently with Jason Herman prior to me. Why aren't you producing these documents? I will file a Motion to Compel and a Motion for Sanctions if you don't cough them up." This was a Good Faith Conference, and on 8/4/2020, I filed the Motion to Compel and Sanctions.

- c. EXHIBIT 716 and EXHIBIT 721 – July 10, 2020 -- April 2019 Request for Copies. "It has been 438 days. Please provide these by July 17, or I will file a Motion for Sanctions." This was a Good Faith Conference, and on 8/4/2020, I filed the Motion to Compel and Sanctions.
- d. EXHIBIT 729 – July 20, 2020 -- Sanctions Conference Depositions - failure for months to set up depositions. "You have failed for months to make arrangements for depositions. I will file a Motion for Sanctions. This is a "good faith effort to resolve this matter without the necessity of Court action." On August 4, 2020, I moved the Court for an order compelling production of Ivan Wayne Laster, Chris Johnson, Chris Mello, Scott Astrin, and David I. Wynne for depositions. I forgot to seek sanctions. EXHIBIT 356 is a confirmation of my Good Faith Effort with Attorney David I. Wynne.
- e. EXHIBIT 614 – July 20, 2020 – "Please consider this a good faith effort to resolve a matter prior to seeking court action. ... Your motion [Motion for Competency] claims I filed "a number of pleadings" that are without merit. Please identify those. Your motion is totally without merit. ... Please advise if I am mistaken, and please explain if there is a legal reason I should not seek sanctions against you." This was a Good Faith Conference,

- f. EXHIBIT 616 – July 21, 2020 – Response to threat of sanctions by Attorney Scott L. Astrin: “To confirm my understanding of your email, there is no legal authority for your Motion other than ‘independent discretion.’ It is your position that a conference before filing a motion for sanctions was not required. You have refused to identify ‘a number of pleadings’ that you swore are without merit. (And Wynne expressed no such issues at any time.) You also failed to respond to my inquiry about receiving the production that I show is due today. Will you be responding to my requests for production on a timely basis? If not, I will be forced to file a motion to compel and a motion for sanctions each time.” This was a Good Faith Conference sent in response to an email from Attorney Scott L. Astrin. On 7/27/2020, I filed a motion for sanctions.
- g. EXHIBIT 731 – July 27, 2020 – Request for Production Conference - production response overdue. This was a Good Faith Conference. “Response was due on July 21, 2020 to my Second Request for Production to Boise Cascade. I have asked about this, and no one has responded. Why haven’t the documents been produced? Do you also plan to ignore the additional discovery requests? Please call me for a ‘good faith conference’ as I am prepared to file a Motion to Compel and a Motion for Sanctions.” On August 4, 2020, I filed a motion to compel and for sanctions against Longest and a motion to compel and for sanctions against Boise Cascade for failure to produce documents. These motions have a Certificate of Compliance.

21. Attorney Scott L. Astrin lied to this Court. A majority of the 212 emails would be 107. I sent seven (7). None were inappropriate. All were Good Faith Conferences where I was obligated to disclose what I was going to do.

22. EXHIBIT 615 is an email sent by Attorney Scott L. Astrin. He threatened me with monetary sanctions.

23. Attorney Scott L. Astrin and Attorney David I. Wynne routinely violate the rules and Florida Statutes. Florida Rules of Professional Procedure Rule 1.380(a)(20) requires:

“the discovering party may move for an order compelling an answer, or a designation or an order compelling inspection, or an order compelling an examination in accordance with the request. The motion must include a certification that the movant, in good faith, has conferred or attempted to confer with the person or party failing to make the discovery in an effort to secure the information or material without court action.”

24. Attorney Scott L. Astrin and Attorney David I. Wynne have filed four motions seeking sanctions against me and two motions seeking to have me found in contempt. (7/20/2020, 7/27/2020, 2/17/2021, and 3/3/2021.) NONE of these had the required Good Faith Conference.

25. The September 1, 2020 Order of this Court requires a “Meet and Confer” before filing a motion and before scheduling a hearing. The Defendants have violated this order at least five times, and I am filing a Motion for five counts of Contempt. The Notice of Hearing filed 7/22/2020 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance. This is proven by EXHIBIT C. The Notice of Hearing filed 8/4/2020 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance. This is proven by EXHIBIT C. The Notice of Hearing filed 8/5/2020 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance. This is proven by EXHIBIT C. The Notice of Hearing filed 9/23/2020 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance. This is proven by EXHIBIT C. The Notice of Hearing filed 2/22/2021 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance. This is proven by EXHIBIT C. There

were no such conferences. I insisted that all contact be in writing because I expressed that I cannot trust the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS.

26. The Motion for Competency filed 7/20/2020, which seeks sanctions, was filed without a conference. He also failed to identify legal authority for the motion. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.

27. The Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Contempt filed 7/27/2020. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.

28. The Motion for Protective Order ROBERT KEITH LONGEST and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC filed 8/4/2020. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.

29. The Motion FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO OMNIBUS ORDER ON MULTIPLE MOTIONS by ROBERT KEITH LONGEST & BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC filed 11/24/2020. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.

30. The Motion TO REQUIRE PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIAM WINDSOR'S SUBMISSIONS TO THE COURT BE REVIEWED, APPROVED AND SIGNED BY A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BAR AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW filed 2/17/2021. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.

31. In Paragraph 21 of the BAR MOTION, Attorney Scott L. Astrin alleges without verification or affidavit:

"As set forth above, Mr. Windsor began representing himself in March 2020. In the past eleven (11) months, Mr. Windsor has spent his time filing at best fifty motions and pleadings attaching voluminous documents, sometimes over a 1000 pages. A majority of the Motions are repetitive seeking reconsideration. The Court has denied these same Motions several times."

32. EXHIBIT C shows that I have filed 42 motions. 7 were regarding Motions to Disqualify; one motion was for contempt; one motion was a request for a conference; two motions to strike; 13 motions for continuance filed due to health reasons or efforts to stay matters while disqualification was considered; one motion for hearing; seven motions for sanctions necessitated by wrongdoing by the DEFENDANTS and their attorneys; and 10 motions for reconsideration due to illegal rulings by the judges involved.

33. In Paragraph 22 of the BAR MOTION, Attorney Scott L. Astrin alleges without verification or affidavit:

"In addition, Mr. Windsor has filed Motions to Recuse the presiding Judge three (3) times to include your Honor. Furthermore, he has a pending action in the 5th DCA wherein he has filed a Writ of Prohibition seeking your Honor's recusal and additional affirmative relief. The first Writ of Prohibition was summarily denied without hearing."

34. I am seeking to disqualify all biased, prejudiced, dishonest, and/or corrupt judges. Nothing was "summarily denied without hearing." The 5th DCA erroneously claimed I did not pay the filing fee. I have proof from Wells Fargo Bank that I did pay. I am being forced to take matters to the Florida Supreme Court.

35. I will provide more evidence on this prior to the 16-hour Show Cause Hearing.

36. In Paragraph 23 of the BAR MOTION filed 2/17/2021, Attorney Scott L. Astrin alleges without verification or affidavit:

"Despite the denials of his Motions, Mr. Windsor continues to inundate the court and litigants with voluminous filings. This includes at least 10 filings over the past week."

37. Attorney Scott L. Astrin is a liar. I have had motions granted. See EXHIBIT C, Docket Numbers 13, 192, 202, 226, 229, and 240. ALL of my motions should have been granted. Whenever one of my motions was not granted, it was because of wrongdoing by the judge. I painstakingly research everything, so I know I am right. I have not inundated the Court

or the litigants with voluminous filings. Attorney Scott L. Astrin says this flood included "at least 10 filings over the past week." This is a LIE easily proven by the DOCKET! From 2/11/2021 to the time of filing the BAR MOTION on 2/17/2021 (7 days), I filed a 28-page Motion for Reconsideration and copied the Court on a 53-page Petition for Writ of Prohibition filed with the Fifth District Court of Appeals. That's it. This so-called "flood" was filed electronically, so it could not generate work for the Clerk. Electronic filing makes most of the work of the Clerks a thing of the past. On 2/17/2021 and 2/18/2021, Attorney Scott L. Astrin filed two frivolous motions totaling 78 pages. Attorney Scott L. Astrin lied to this Court.

38. Merriam-Webster defines "inundate" as to flood or fill beyond capacity. I have done neither.

39. In Paragraph 24 of the BAR MOTION, Attorney Scott L. Astrin alleges without verification or affidavit:

"Defense attorneys in the Lake County Florida litigation identified above, in specific, Case No.: 2019 CA 001871 recently filed a similar Emergency Motion. The Motion was considered by presiding Circuit Court Judge Dan R. Mosley. Upon review of the Motion and evidence submitted, Judge Mosley granted the Defendants' Motion and drafted a detailed Order dated February 12, 2021. This Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**."

40. The purported order of Judge Dan R. Mosley is a void order. Judge Dan R. Mosley admitted that he had not even read the Complaint in the case. I will file a separate affidavit that addresses the wrongdoing of Judge Dan R. Mosley.

41. Defense attorneys in Lake County, Florida have filed multiple motions similar to the BAR MOTION, and they were denied. I will file a separate affidavit that addresses this and the motion for the same relief that was denied by Judge John Marshall Kest in this case.

42. Attorney Scott L. Astrin and Attorney David I. Wynne have complained about the time of day I have sent emails or processed filings that generated emails from the Clerk of the

Court. This is a pathetic attempt to manufacture an issue when they have none. 13 emails were sent between midnight and 6 am. No one in their right mind has their cellphone by their side with "Notifications On" for Emails that would wake them up every time an email appears. Only dishonest people make stuff like this up. They don't have much imagination, and they just lie and lie and lie some more. After being asked to never call me, David I. Wynne calls me on my personal phone that is not to be used in legal actions and is not on file in this case. He usually wakes me up.

43. The "ARGUMENT AND CITATION TO AUTHORITY" OF THE BAR MOTION is solely about long pleadings and motions. So, I prepared spreadsheets to show there has been no such thing in this case.

44. I have reviewed every email that I have sent or received regarding this case. Each email was filed as EXHIBIT A to this Affidavit. Each email is numbered as an Exhibit (Column A). There are 331 email threads – 119 sent by Astrin, Wynne, and associates – and 212 sent by me. These are threads, not emails. The threads were sent on 116 days, so there were approximately 116 emails. EXHIBIT D hereto is a spreadsheet listing each email in chronological order. EXHIBIT E hereto is a spreadsheet listing each email in Category order. EXHIBIT F hereto is a spreadsheet listing each email in EXHIBIT Number order.

45. Column A on the Email Spreadsheets is the EXHIBIT Number; Column B is the identifying title I have given to each email; Column C is the date and time (YYYY-MM-DD-HH-MM); Column D indicates those emails sent between midnight and 6 am; Column E indicates whether the Email contained a mention of Sanctions; and Column F is the Email Subject.

46. There is no rule or statute that limits legal emails to any specific hours of the day. This pathetic claim by purported attorney Scott L. Astrin must be stricken. If attorney Scott L. Astrin and Attorney David I. Wynne are awakened in the night every time an email is received, they should press the little button that turns off their phones. There should also be an option to deny "notifications" when emails are received. I don't believe any person in their right mind wants a ding every time an email is received.

47. I have called these attorneys lowlives. Definitions.net defines "lowlife" as "an untrustworthy, despicable, or disreputable person." David Wynne and Scott Astrin are untrustworthy, despicable, and disreputable.

48. I am not an attorney, and there is no rule or statute that denies me the right to express my beliefs based upon my personal knowledge, UNDER OATH.

49. Pro se parties are not obligated to adhere to the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct. Judge John Marshall Kest falsely and maliciously claimed this. Those Rules apply exclusively to LAWYERS. I conducted a search of every appellate court decision in the history of Florida, and there is no case to provide the Rules apply to pro se parties. The word "lawyer" appears 107 times in the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct. The terms "pro se" and "non lawyer" never appear once. [EXHIBIT 2348.] [EXHIBIT 2535.]

50. EXHIBIT C hereto is a spreadsheet listing each document filed in this case in the 903 days the case has been pending. Column A is my Docket Number since the Clerk of the Court does not number the Docket entries; Column B is the Party who filed; Column C is the Category of the filings; Column D is whether the document was sworn as true and correct under penalty of perjury; Column E shows whether or not the document was signed; Column F is the

title of the filing; Column G is the date of the filing; Column H is the number of pages; and Column I shows the Legal Authority specified in the opening paragraph of the Filing.

51. EXHIBIT G is the Filings Analysis Spreadsheet sorted by Category. It shows 423 motions were filed by me in 903 days.

52. EXHIBIT G shows five Affidavits were filed by me and one by the DEFENDANTS' attorney in 903 days. Five (5) pages of sworn testimony by Attorney Scott L. Astrin and 158 pages of sworn testimony by me.

53. EXHIBIT G shows 2 docket entries for Answers filed by the DEFENDANTS; three appeals filed by me; two Certificates filed by me; four Complaints filed by me; 20 docket entries by the Court; 77 docket entries for Discovery; 7 docket entries regarding Motions to Disqualify; 65 docket entries for exhibits; 26 docket entries for letters; one docket entry for a motion by my former attorney; one motion for contempt filed by me; one motion for conference filed by me; two motions to strike filed by me; 13 motions for continuance filed by me due to health reasons or efforts to stay matters while disqualification was considered; eight motions by the DEFENDANTS; one motion for hearing filed by me; two joint motions filed by my former counsel; two objections to interrogatories filed by me; seven motions for sanctions filed by me; 10 motions for reconsideration filed by me; 22 notices of various types; 44 orders entered by the Court; 7 entries by me that were replaced by amended filings; 3 requests for findings of fact and conclusions of law filed by me; 7 responses to motions by the DEFENDANTS filed by me; 0 responses to my motions from the DEFENDANTS; and one Settlement entry filed by the DEFENDANTS.

54. The DEFENDANTS filings include: Objections to Plaintiff's Notice for Jury Trial and Motion for Case Management Conference; Motion to Determine Mental Competency of

Plaintiff; Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Contempt due to a purported federal court order; Motion for Protective Order ROBERT KEITH LONGEST and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC; Motion for Rehearing OF MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON POTENTIAL MISCOMMUNICATION; Motion FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO OMNIBUS ORDER ON MULTIPLE MOTIONS by ROBERT KEITH LONGEST & BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC; Motion TO REQUIRE PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIAM WINDSOR'S SUBMISSIONS TO THE COURT BE REVIEWED, APPROVED AND SIGNED BY A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BAR AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW; and Motion TO REQUIRE PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIAM WINDSOR'S SUBMISSIONS TO THE COURT BE REVIEWED, APPROVED AND SIGNED BY A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BAR AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW AND MOTION TO FIND PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIMA.

55. The filings by the DEFENDANTS are truly OUTRAGEOUS. A Motion for Mental Competency that is as frivolous as a motion can get. A Motion to Dismiss based on a purported federal court order with no jurisdiction whatsoever by this Court. And now the BAR MOTION and Order to Show Cause with no legal authority whatsoever and every case in history to prove these are frivolous. I have never filed anything frivolous or without legal authority.

56. EXHIBIT C shows 46 filings were filed by me and sworn as true and correct under penalty of perjury. Not one filing by the DEFENDANTS or their attorneys in 903 days was sworn as true and correct, much less under penalty of perjury. My 46 filings add 655 pages of sworn testimony plus exhibits. This gives me a grand total of 813 pages of sworn testimony in this case.

57. EXHIBIT C shows there has been only one filing signed by an attorney for the DEFENDANTS; it is an agreed motion that was prepared by the Law Office of Dan Newlin on 1/17/2020. This is laughable.

58. The Frigging Frivolous BAR MOTION and Order to Show Cause are outrageous due to a host of issues. In separate evidence, I will file proof of dirty hands; absence of legal authority; violations of due process; additional information to show no email violations; additional information to show no improper filings; law necessitating striking of all unsigned filings; no basis for an injunction; violation of requirements for an order to show cause; law of the case, stare decisis and/or collateral estoppel; truth about Georgia litigation; truth about Texas litigation; truth about Montana litigation; and corruption in this case.

FURTHER SAITH AFFIANT NOT.

Sworn under penalty of perjury this 12th day of March, 2021,




William M. Windsor

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned Notary Public duly authorized to administer oaths, William M. Windsor, who after being duly sworn deposes and states that he is authorized to make this verification and that the facts alleged in the foregoing are true and correct based upon his personal knowledge, except as to the matters herein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters, he believes them to be true.


I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 12th day of March, 2021,




William M. Windsor

Sworn and subscribed before me this 12th day of March, 2021, by means of physical presence.



Notary Public



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.


ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

**NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS 356, 2346, AND 2535 TO AFFIDAVIT OF
WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12, 2021**

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files
this Notice of Filing Exhibits 356, 2346, and 2535 to the Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated
March 12, 2021.

This 17th day of March, 2021.



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
bill@billwindsor.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,


Defendants.

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS C, D, E, F, AND G TO AFFIDAVIT OF
WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12, 2021

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files
this Notice of Filing Exhibits C, D, E, F, and G to the Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated
March 12, 2021.

This 17th day of March, 2021.



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
bill@billwindsor.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com

Appendix 43

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

**NOTICE OF FILING AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12,
2021 REGARDING PRIOR SWORN STATEMENTS**

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files
this Notice of Filing Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated March 12, 2021 Regarding Prior
Sworn Statements.

This 17th day of March, 2021.



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
bill@billwindsor.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States

Postal Service to:

David I. Wynne and Scott L. Astrin
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605
Tampa, Florida 33602

david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com
813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110
Fax: 813-649-8362

This 17th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
bill@billwindsor.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN
AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY,
FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,
Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING
MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,
Defendants.

VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12, 2021
REGARDING PRIOR SWORN STATEMENTS

I, William M. Windsor, the undersigned, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. I am over the age of 21, a living person, am competent to testify, and have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
2. I am 72 ½-years-old, disabled, live alone, and I live on the second floor of a condo with stairs that are difficult for me to deal with. I am pro se, and I have no help with my legal work.
3. I do not have a notary as a friend, so I must rely on a branch of Wells Fargo Bank to do my notaries. Due to the Pandemic, their lobbies are closed except by appointment. It is often impossible for me to get a notary when needed for a filing.
4. I hereby swear that everything I have filed in this case is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge, except as to the matters stated to be alleged on information and

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned Notary Public duly authorized to administer oaths, William M. Windsor, who after being duly sworn deposes and states that he is authorized to make this verification and that the facts alleged in the foregoing are true and correct based upon his personal knowledge, except as to the matters herein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters, he believes them to be true.


I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 12th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor

Sworn and subscribed before me this 12th day of March, 2021, by means of physical presence.



Notary Public



Appendix

44

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR'S MOTION TO FIND DEFENDANT
BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C. AND
DEFENDANT ROBERT KEITH LONGEST IN CONTEMPT

COMES NOW, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files this Motion to Find Defendant BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C. and Defendant ROBERT KEITH LONGEST in Contempt pursuant to the September 1, 2020 Order of this Court and Administrative Order 2012-03. Windsor shows the Court as follows:

1. The September 1, 2020 Order of this Court and Administrative Order 2012-03 require a "Meet and Confer" before filing a motion and before scheduling a hearing. The Defendants have violated this order at least 10 times; this Motion seeks ten counts of Contempt.
2. The Notice of Hearing filed 7/22/2020 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance. This is proven by EXHIBIT C.
3. The Notice of Hearing filed 8/4/2020 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance. This is proven by EXHIBIT C.

4. The Notice of Hearing filed 8/5/2020 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance.
This is proven by EXHIBIT C.
5. The Notice of Hearing filed 9/23/2020 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance.
This is proven by EXHIBIT C.
6. The Notice of Hearing filed 2/22/2021 has a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance.
This is proven by EXHIBIT C.
7. There were no such conferences. I insisted that all contact be in writing because I expressed that I cannot trust the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS.
8. The Motion for Competency filed 7/20/2020, which seeks sanctions, was filed without a conference. The attorney also failed to identify legal authority for the motion. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.
9. The Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Contempt filed 7/27/2020. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.
10. The Motion for Protective Order ROBERT KEITH LONGEST and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC filed 8/4/2020. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.
11. The Motion FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO OMNIBUS ORDER ON MULTIPLE MOTIONS by ROBERT KEITH LONGEST & BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC filed 11/24/2020. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.
12. The Motion TO REQUIRE PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIAM WINDSOR'S SUBMISSIONS TO THE COURT BE REVIEWED, APPROVED AND SIGNED BY A


MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BAR AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW filed 2/17/2021. There is no Certificate of Compliance. There was no good faith conference.

13. The attorneys for the DEFENDANTS have blatantly violated the September 1, 2020 Order of this Court and Administrative Order 2012-03, and they have lied about it to the Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

14. Wherefore, Windsor moves the Court for an order finding Defendant BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C. and Defendant ROBERT KEITH LONGEST in contempt; striking the pleadings of Defendant BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C. and Defendant ROBERT KEITH LONGEST; sanctioning these DEFENDANTS and their attorneys \$10,000 per contempt since this is the rate identified by the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS; and granting such other and further relief as is deemed just and proper.

This 17th day of March, 2021.



William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, pursuant to Administrative Order 2012-03 and the September 1, 2020 Order Requiring Compliance by Attorneys and Pro Se Litigants with Procedures and Administrative Orders, I have communicated with the attorneys for Boise Cascade and Robert Keith Longest attempting to resolve this matter without Court action. There was no response, as usual.

This 17th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
bill@billwindsor.com - billwindsor1@outlook.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by Electronic Mail to:

David I. Wynne
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605
Tampa, Florida 33602
david.wynne@aig.com, scott@astrin@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com
813-526-0559 -- 813-218-3110 -- Fax: 813-649-8362

This 17th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988

bill@billwindsor.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com

VERIFICATION

The facts alleged in the foregoing are true and correct based upon my personal knowledge, except as to the matters herein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 17th day of March, 2021,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William M. Windsor". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with some capitalization.

William M. Windsor

EXHIBIT C

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
1	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	Yes	Plaintiff Interrogatories to Boise Cascade	2018-09-20	10	1.340
2	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	Yes	Plaintiff Interrogatories to Longest	2018-09-20	12	1.340
3	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	Yes	Plaintiff Request for Admissions to Boise	2018-09-20	3	1.370
4	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	Yes	Plaintiff Request for Admissions to Longest	2018-09-20	3	1.370
5	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	Yes	Plaintiff Request to Produce to Boise	2018-09-20	4	1.350
6	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	Yes	Plaintiff Request to Produce to Longest	2018-09-20	4	1.350
7	Plaintiff	Complaint	No	Yes	Complaint	2018-09-20	5	
8	Plaintiff	Court	No	Yes	Civil Cover Sheet	2018-09-20	2	
9	Court	Court	No	No	Case Initiated	2018-09-20	0	
10	Plaintiff	Court	No	Yes	Summons to Longest	2018-09-27	3	
11	Plaintiff	Court	No	Yes	Summons to Boise	2018-09-27	3	
12	Boise	Notice	No	No	Notice of Designation of Email Addresses by DEFENDANTS' Attorney	2018-10-10	2	10-2101
13	Boise	Discovery	No	No	Boise Request for Admissions to Plaintiff	2018-10-10	4	1.370
14	Defendants	Answer	No	No	Answer	2018-10-10	6	
15	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Notice of Taking Deposition of William M. Windsor	2018-11-05	2	None
16	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	No	Notice of Taking Deposition of Robert Longest	2018-11-06	2	None
17	Longest	Discovery	No	Yes	Longest Response to Plaintiffs Request for Admissions	2018-11-16	5	None
18	Boise	Discovery	No	Yes	Boise Response to Plaintiffs Request for Admissions	2018-11-16	5	None
19	Longest	Discovery	No	No	Longest Notice of Service Answers to Interrogatories	2018-11-16	2	None
20	Boise	Discovery	No	No	Boise Notice of Service Answers to Interrogatories	2018-11-16	2	None
21	Longest	Discovery	No	No	Longest Notice of Service Answers to Interrogatories	2018-11-19	2	None
22	Boise	Discovery	No	No	Motion to Compel Discovery from Windsor	2019-01-24	4	None
23	Longest	Discovery	No	No	Longest Response to Request to Produce Amended #18	2019-02-04	2	None
24	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	No	Plaintiff Response to Boise Request for Production First	2019-02-04	3	None
24	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	No	Plaintiff Response to Request to Produce	2019-02-04	2	None
25	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Notice of Production of Non Party	2019-02-07	18	1.351
26	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Notice of Production of Non Party	2019-02-07	18	1.351
27	Defendants	Settlement	No	No	Notice of Service of Proposal for Settlement	2019-02-11	2	1.442
28	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Notice of Production of Non Party	2019-02-13	26	1.351
29	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Notice of Production of Non Party	2019-02-21	26	1.351

Doc#	Party	Category	Sworn Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
30	Defendants	Discovery	No	Certificate of No Objection	2019-02-26	1	1.351
31	Defendants	Discovery	No	Certificate of No Objection	2019-02-27	1	1.351
32	Defendants	Discovery	No	Certificate of No Objection	2019-02-28	1	1.351
33	Plaintiff	Notice	No	Notice for Trial	2019-03-04	1	None
34	Defendants	Motion - Defendant	No	Objections to Plaintiff's Notice for Jury Trial and Motion for Case Management Conference	2019-03-04	2	None
35	Court	Court	No	Uniform Order Setting Case for Jury/Pretrial	2019-03-06	9	None
36	Court	Court	No	Uniform Order Setting Case for Jury/Pretrial	2019-03-06	9	None
37	Defendants	Discovery	No	Certificate of No Objection	2019-03-11	1	None
38	Defendants	Discovery	No	Notice of Taking Deposition of William M. Windsor	2019-03-20	2	None
39	Defendants	Discovery	No	Notice of Production of Non Party	2019-04-17	27	None
40	Defendants	Discovery	No	Notice of Production of Non Party	2019-04-23	22	None
41	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	Request for Copies	2019-04-29	3	1.351
42	Defendants	Discovery	No	Certificate of No Objection	2019-05-02	1	1.351
43	Defendants	Discovery	No	Certificate of No Objection	2019-05-08	1	1.351
44	Joint	Motion - Joint	No	Joint Motion for Substitution of Counsel	2019-05-13	1	None
45	Defendants	Notice	No	Notice of Unavailability	2019-05-13	1	None
46	Defendants	Notice	No	Notice of Appearance of Counsel	2019-05-13	2	4-7.21(g)(3)
47	Defendants	Letter	No	Letter to Judge Lisa T. Munyon from David Wynne	2019-05-13	1	None
48	Court	Order	Yes	Order on Motion for Substitution of Counsel	2019-05-16	1	None
49	Plaintiff	Notice	No	Notice of Mediation	2019-08-16	2	None
50	Defendants	Notice	No	Notice of Conflict	2019-10-08	2	None
51	Defendants	Discovery	No	Notice of Taking Deposition of Carrie Broussard	2019-10-30	2	None
52	Defendants	Discovery	No	Notice of Taking Deposition of Jerome Wilt	2019-10-30	2	None
53	Defendants	Discovery	No	Request for Examination of Windsor by Dr. Stephen Goll	2019-11-07	3	1.360
54	Defendants	Notice	No	Notice of Conflict - Amended	2019-12-20	2	None
55	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	Notice of Filing Plaintiff's Disclosure of Expert Witnesses	2019-12-23	6	None
56	Plaintiff	Notice	No	Notice of Change of Firm Attorney	2019-12-23	2	2.516(b)(1)(A)
57	Defendants	Discovery	No	Disclosure of Experts by Defendants	2020-01-09	4	None

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signat	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
58	Joint	Motion - Joint	No	Yes	Agreed Motion for Continuance	2020-01-17	2	None
59	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Uniform Order Setting Case for Jury/Pretial	2020-01-27	9	None
60	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Continuing Trial	2020-01-27	1	None
61	Defendants	Letter	No	Yes	Letter to Judge Lisa T. Munyon from David Wynne	2020-01-28	1	None
62	Plaintiff	Discovery	No	No	Plaintiff's Witness and Exhibit List	2020-01-29	10	None
63	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice of Cancellation of Depositions	2020-02-06	1	None
64	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Amended Request for Examination of Windsor by Dr. Stephen Goll	2020-02-26	3	1.360
65	Plaintiff	Motion	No	No	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Windsor	2020-02-26	2	None
66	Plaintiff	Notice	No	No	Notice of Filing Plaintiff's Consent to Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Windsor	2020-03-13	2	None
67	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order on Motion to Withdraw as Counsel	2020-03-19	1	None
68	Plaintiff	Letter	No	Yes	Letter to Judge Lisa T. Munyon from Steven Tomesko	2020-03-25	1	None
69	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice of Production of Non Party	2020-03-27	5	None
70	Boise	Discovery	No	No	Boise and Longest Response to Production Amended	2020-06-03	24	None
71	Windsor	Discovery	No	No	Motion to Permit Deposition of Dr. Stephen Goll etc	2020-06-04	4	None
71.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	No	Exhibits to Motion to Permit Deposition of Dr. Stephen Goll etc	2020-06-04	26	None
72	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Motion to Compel Production of Purported Privileged Documents	2020-06-03	4	1.380
72.1	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Motion to Compel Production of Purported Privileged Documents	2020-06-03	12	1.380
73	Windsor	Complaint	No	Yes	Motion for Leave to file Amended Complaint	2020-06-08	5	1.190
73.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion for Leave to file Amended Complaint	2020-06-08	15	1.190
74	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Setting Hearing	2020-06-10	3	None
75	Defendants	Discovery	Yes	Yes	Notice of Service of Answers to Interrogatories - Boise	2020-06-12	13	None
76	Defendants	Discovery	Yes	Yes	Notice of Service of Answers to Interrogatories - Longest	2020-06-12	14	None
77	Windsor	Discovery	Yes	Yes	Objections and Motion for Sanctions against Longest regarding Interrogatories 2020 06	2020-06-24	16	1.370

Doc#	Party	Category	Sworn Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
78	Windsor	Discovery	Yes	Objections and Motion for Sanctions against Boise regarding Interrogatories	2020-06-24	17	1.370
79	Windsor	Motion - Sanctions	Yes	Motion for Sanctions against Longest for Fraud on the Court	2020-06-22	15	Cox v Burke
80	Windsor	Motion - Sanctions	Yes	Motion for Sanctions against Boise for Fraud on the Court	2020-06-22	13	Cox v Burke
81	Windsor	Affidavit	No	Notice of Filing Affidavit of William M. Windsor	2020-06-24	2	None
82	Windsor	Affidavit	Yes	Affidavit of William M. Windsor	2020-06-24	71	None
83	Windsor	Motion - Objection	Yes	Objection to Longest's Answers to Interrogatories and Motion for Sanctions	2020-06-24	16	1.340 & 1.380
84	Windsor	Motion - Objection	Yes	Objection to Boise's Answers to Interrogatories and Motion for Sanctions	2020-06-24	16	1.340 & 1.380
85	Windsor	Letter	No	Letter to Lisa Shorten Judge Lisa T Munyon	2020-06-24	1	None
86	Defendants	Notice	No	Notice of Unavailability	2020-06-24	1	None
87	Windsor	Discovery	No	Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Compel Production of Purported Privileged Documents	2020-06-26	2	None
88	Windsor	Certificate	No	Certificate of Conference	2020-06-29	2	1.380
89	Windsor	Motion - Sanctions	No	Motion for Sanctions against Boise for Fraud on the Court Amended	2020-07-01	46	Cox v Burke
90	Windsor	Motion - Sanctions	No	Motion for Sanctions against Longest for Fraud on the Court Amended	2020-07-01	45	Cox v Burke
91	Windsor	Discovery	No	Motion to Exceed 30 Interrogatories and 30 Requests for Admissions	2020-07-05	4	1.340 & 1.370
91	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Exhibits to Motion to Exceed 30 Interrogatories and 30 Requests for Admissions	2020-07-05	25	1.340 & 1.370
92	Windsor	Letter	No	Letter to Lisa Shorten Judge Lisa T Munyon	2020-07-06	1	None
93	Court	Order	Yes	Order Setting Hearing	2020-07-07	3	None
94	Court	Order	Yes	Order Denying Pltfs Motion to Exceed 30 Interrogatories & 30 Requests for Admissions	2020-07-07	2	None
95	Court	Order	Yes	Order Granting Motion to Compel Incomplete Answer to Interrogatory	2020-07-07	2	None

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
96	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denyin Pltfs Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint	2020-07-07	1	None
97	Court	Court	No	No	Court Minutes	2020-07-07	2	None
98	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Permit Deposition of Dr Goll	2020-07-07	2	None
99	Court	Court	No	No	Hearing	2020-07-07	0	None
100	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Notice of Plaintiff Second Set of Interrogatories to Longest	2020-07-08	5	None
101	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Request for Production to Boise Cascade Sixth	2020-07-08	3	1.350
101.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Request for Production to Boise Cascade Sixth	2020-07-08	7	1.350
102	Defendants	Discovery	Yes	Yes	Notice of Service of Boise's Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff	2020-07-08	23	None
103	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Requests for Admissions Boise Second	2020-07-08	3	1.370
103.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Requests for Admissions Boise Second	2020-07-08	8	1.370
104	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Requests for Admissions Longest Second	2020-07-08	3	1.370
104.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Requests for Admissions Longest Second	2020-07-08	4	1.370
105	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter to Lisa Shorten Judge Lisa T Munyon	2020-07-09	1	None
106	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Motion to Exceed 30 Interrogatories and 30 Requests for Admissions Second	2020-07-09	4	1.340 & 1.370
106.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Exceed 30 Interrogatories and 30 Requests for Admissions Second	2020-07-09	83	1.340 & 1.370
107	Windsor	Complaint	No	Yes	Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint Second	2020-07-09	6	1.190
107.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint Second	2020-07-09	13	1.190
108	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Request to Boise Cascade for Entry for Inspection	2020-07-10	2	1.350
109	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Notice of Taking Depositions - Notice of Intent to Serve Subpoena on Dr. Stephen Goll Pursuant to Rule 1.351	2020-07-11	3	1.351
110	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Response to Defendants Request for Production Amended	2020-07-12	4	None
111	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Request for Copies Second	2020-07-12	2	1.351 (e)

Doc#	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
111.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Request for Copies Second	2020-07-12	3	1.351 (e)
112	Defendants	Motion - Defendant	No	No	Motion to Determine Mental Competency of Plaintiff	2020-07-20	6	None
114	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice of Evidentiary Hearing	2020-07-22	3	None
115	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Setting Hearing	2020-07-22	2	None
116	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter to Lisa Shorten Judge Lisa T Munyon	2020-07-27	1	None
117	Boise	Discovery	No	No	Notice of Service of Answers to Interrogatories	2020-07-27	2	1.340
118	Boise	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request to Produce - Fourth - Boise	2020-07-27	2	1.350
119	Boise	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request to Produce - Third - Boise	2020-07-27	2	1.350
120	Boise	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request to Produce - Second - Boise	2020-07-27	5	1.350
121	Longest	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request to Produce - Third - Longest	2020-07-27	2	1.350
122	Longest	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request to Produce - Second - Longest	2020-07-27	3	1.350
123	Windsor	Response	No	Yes	Petition or Motion to Strike AND MOTION TO CANCEL 08/04/2020	2020-07-27	7	2.505
123.1	Windsor	Response	No	Yes	Petition or Motion to Strike AND MOTION TO CANCEL 08/04/2020	2020-07-27	31	2.505
124	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Amended Notice of Hearing 4th day of August, 2020 at 2:45 p.m	2020-07-27	4	None
125	Defendants	Motion - Defendant	No	No	Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Contempt due to a purported federal court order	2020-07-27	7	None
126	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Pltfs Motion to Cancel 8/4/20 Hearing & Motion to Strike Defts Emergency Motion Requesting the Court Determine if Pro Se Pltff William Windsor to Comply & Adhere to the Florida Bar Rules of Prof	2020-07-28	2	None
127	Defendants	Exhibits - Defendants	No	No	Notice of Filing DEFENDANTS NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-28	30	None
128	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Motion for Continuance	2020-07-29	18	None
128.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion for Continuance	2020-07-29	18	None

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
129	Defendants	Exhibits - Defendants	No	No	Notice of Filing EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS JULY 27, 2020 MOTION TO DISMISS AND DEFENDANTS JULY 20, 2020 EMERGENCY MOTION TO DETERMINE COMPETENCY AND ENFORCE ADHERENCE AND FOR SANCTIONS	2020-07-29	15	None
130	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Request for judicial notice	2020-07-30	2	None
130.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Request for judicial notice	2020-07-30	43	None
131	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFFS WILLIAM M WINDSORS THIRD NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	2	None
131.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Notice of Filing PLAINTIFFS WILLIAM M WINDSORS THIRD NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	98	None
132	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSORS FOURTH NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	2	None
132.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSORS FOURTH NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	142	None
133	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSORS FIFTH NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	2	None
133.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSORS FIFTH NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	100	None
134	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSORS SECOND NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	2	None
134.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSORS SECOND NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	104	None
135	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFFS WILLIAM M WINDSORS FIRST NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	2	None
135.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Notice of Filing PLAINTIFFS WILLIAM M WINDSORS FIRST NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS	2020-07-30	41	None
136	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Second Motion for Continuance OF THE AUGUST 4, 2020 HEARING FILED BY PLTF, WILLIAM M WINDSOR	2020-07-30	3	None
137	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Setting Hearing 9/14/2020 1:30	2020-07-31	4	None

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
138	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Granting motion to postpone 8/4/2020 hearing and motion to strike defendant's amended notice of hearing	2020-07-31	2	None
139	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice of Hearing 2/18/2020 AT 3PM	2020-08-04	4	None
140	Defendants	Motion - Defendant	No	No	Motion for Protective Order ROBERT KEITH LONGEST and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC	2020-08-04	5	None
141	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Motion to Compel Discovery WILLIAM M WINDSOR MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITIONS	2020-08-04	20	1,380
142	Windsor	Motion	No	Yes	Motion for Contempt - Boise	2020-08-04	5	1,380
142.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Motion for Contempt Boise	2020-08-04	43	1,380
143	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting Hearings	2020-08-04	1	None
144	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Motion to Compel Discovery	2020-08-04	4	1,380
144.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Motion to Compel Discovery	2020-08-04	35	1,380
145	Windsor	Motion - Sanctions	No	Yes	Motion for Sanctions	2020-08-04	5	FS 45.051
145.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Motion for Sanctions	2020-08-04	9	FS 45.051
146	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting a hearing	2020-08-04	1	None
147	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Amended Notice of Hearing 25th day of August, 2020 at 3:30 p.m	2020-08-05	4	None
148	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Motion to Compel Subpoena for Dr. Stephen Goll	2020-08-04	15	1,351
148.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Compel Subpoena for Dr. Stephen Goll	2020-08-04	30	1,351
149	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Motion for Continuance OF THE 8/25/2020 HEARING FILED BY PLTF, WILLIAM M WINDSOR	2020-08-05	3	None
150	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Motion to Compel subpoena for documents to dr stephen goll	2020-08-05	6	1,351
150.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Compel subpoena for documents to dr stephen goll	2020-08-05	29	1,351
151	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting hearings	2020-08-05	1	None
152	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting hearings	2020-08-11	2	None

Doc#	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
153	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Pltfs Motion for Sanctions Against Doise Cascade-Building Materials Distribution LLC for Fraud on the Court	2020-08-11	2	None
154	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Pltfs Motion for Sanctions Against Robert Keith Longest for Fraud on the Court	2020-08-11	2	None
155	Windsor	Response	Yes	Yes	Amended Response to Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Strike	2020-08-11	30	1150 and Cox v. Burke
155.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Amended Response to Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Strike	2020-08-11	56	1150 and Cox v. Burke
156	Windsor	Replaced	Yes	Yes	Response to Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Strike	2020-08-11	29	1150 and Cox v. Burke
156.1	Windsor	Replaced	Yes	Yes	Response to Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Strike	2020-08-11	56	1150 and Cox v. Burke
157	Defendants	Exhibits - Defendants	No	No	Notice of Filing (DEFENDANTS SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL) EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF (1) DEFENDANTS JULY 27, 2020 MOTION TO DISMISS AND FOR SANCTIONS, AND (2) DEFENDANTS JULY 20, 2020 EMERGENCY MOTION TO DETERMINE COMPETENCY	2020-08-13	48	None
158	Windsor	Notice	No	No	Notice of Hearing September 2, 2020 at 2:15pm	2020-08-13	3	None
159	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Setting Hearing 9/23/2020 3:30	2020-08-13	3	None
160	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter with documents for review at Hearing	2020-08-18	1	None
161	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Memorandum OF LAW	2020-08-18	84	None
162	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSOR NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS FOR AUGUST 25, 2020 HEARING	2020-08-18	134	None
163	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSOR NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS FOR AUGUST 25, 2020 HEARING	2020-08-18	120	None
164	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing WILLIAM M WINDSOR NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS FOR AUGUST 25, 2020 HEARING PART 2	2020-08-18	143	None
165	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Request FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE	2020-08-18	12	None

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
166	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order on Defendants Motion for protective order on all discovery pending determination of competency and dismissal	2020-08-19	2	None
167	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Second Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint	2020-08-19	1	None
168	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying second motion to exceed 30 interrogatories and 30 admissions	2020-08-19	1	None
169	Windsor	Request	No	Yes	Request for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on Order Granting Protective Order	2020-08-19	4	None
170	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting Hearing	2020-08-19	1	None
171	Windsor	Complaint	No	Yes	Motion for Leave to file Third Amended Complaint	2020-08-19	6	1-190
171.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Amend third motion for leave to file an amended complaint	2020-08-19	16	1-190
172	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice of Designation of Email Address	2020-08-19	2	SC 10-2101
173	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice Appearance of Counsel	2020-08-19	2	4-721(g)(3)
174	Court	Court			HEARING Hearing Munyon, Lisa T. (Actual: Munyon, Lisa T.)	2020-08-19		
175	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter with notice of exhibits for Hearing	2020-08-20	1	None
176	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSOR NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS FOR 08 25 2020 HEARING PART 5	2020-08-20	198	None
177	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter with notice of exhibits for Hearing	2020-08-20	1	None
178	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Notice of Filing PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M WINDSOR NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS FOR 08 25 2020	2020-08-20	37	None
179	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter with notice of exhibits for Hearing	2020-08-20	1	None
180	Windsor	Request	No	Yes	Request FOR FINDING OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR'S MOTION TO CANCEL AUGUST 4, 2020 HEARING AND MOTION TO STRIKE "DEFENDNATS, ROBERT KEITH LONGEST & BOISE CASCAD	2020-08-22	3	None

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
181	Windsor	Request	No	Yes	Request FOR FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON ORDER DENYING MOTION TO EXCEED 30 INTERROGATORIES AND 30 REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS	2020-08-22	3	None
182	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	No	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration OF ORDER ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROBERT KEITH LONGEST FOR FRAUD ON THE COURT	2020-08-23	9	1-530 & Cox v Burke
182.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion for Reconsideration OF ORDER ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROBERT KEITH LONGEST FOR FRAUD ON THE COURT	2020-08-23	16	1-530 & Cox v Burke
183	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	No	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration OF ORDER ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C. FOR FRAUD ON THE COURT	2020-08-23	9	1-530 & Cox v Burke
183.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion for Reconsideration OF ORDER ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C. FOR FRAUD ON THE COURT	2020-08-23	16	1-530 & Cox v Burke
184	Windsor	Disqualify	No		Letter Re: Motion to Disqualify Pltf. William M. Windsor	2020-08-23	1	FS 38-10 & Canon 3E(1)
185	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Second Motion for Continuance OF THE AUGUST 25, 2020 HEARING	2020-08-24	4	None
185.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Second Motion for Continuance OF THE AUGUST 25, 2020 HEARING	2020-08-24	3	None
186	Windsor	Motion	No	Yes	Motion FOR CONFERENCE	2020-08-25	2	None
187	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order of Reassignment to Div 39	2020-08-25	2	None
188	Windsor	Affidavit	Yes	Yes	Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated August 25, 2020	2020-08-25	36	None
188.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated August 25, 2020	2020-08-25	82	None
188.2	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated August 25, 2020	2020-08-25	69	None

Doc#	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
188.3	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Affidavit of William M. Windsor dated August 25, 2020	2020-08-25	113	None
189	Windsor	Replaced	Yes	Yes	Response TO MOTION TO DISMISS, MOTION TO STRIKE, AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS	2020-08-25	21	None
190	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting hearings	2020-08-25	3	None
191	Windsor	Replaced	Yes	Yes	Response TO MOTION TO DISMISS, MOTION TO STRIKE, AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS	2020-08-25	20	None
191.1	Windsor	Replaced	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Response TO MOTION TO DISMISS, MOTION TO STRIKE, AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS	2020-08-25	102	None
192	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order of Recusal or Disqualification of Judge Lisa T. Munyon	2020-08-25	1	None
193	Windsor	Disqualify	Yes	Yes	Motion to Disqualify or Recuse JUDGE LISA T. MUNYON	2020-08-25	13	FS 38.10 & FRJA 2.330 & Others
193.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Disqualify or Recuse JUDGE LISA T. MUNYON	2020-08-25	118	FS 38.10 & FRJA 2.330 & Others
194	Court	Court			HEARING CANCELED Cancelled Motion Munyon, Lisa T.	2020-08-25	0	
195	Windsor	Motion - Sanctions	No	Yes	Motion for Sanctions - Boise	2020-08-29	71	Many
195.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion for Sanctions - Boise	2020-08-29	30	Many
196	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting hearings	2020-08-29	2	None
197	Windsor	Motion - Sanctions	No	Yes	Motion for Sanctions - Longest	2020-08-29	70	Many
197.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion for Sanctions. Longest	2020-08-29	30	Many
198	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Setting Case Management Conference 9/21/20 @ 9:00 Am	2020-08-31	4	1.200(a)

Doc #	Party	Category	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
199	Defendants	Notice	No	No	2020-09-01	2	None
200	Court	Order	Yes	Order Requiring Compliance by Attys & Pr Se Litigants W/Procedures & Admin Orders	2020-09-01	2	Admin Policies
201	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	No	Motion for Reconsideration OF ORDER DATED SEPTEMBER 2, 2020	2020-09-03	3	None
202	Court	Order	Yes	Order on Motion for reconsideration, request for hearing and directing defendant to file a response	2020-09-02	2	None
203	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Notice of Filing FEDERAL COURT ORDER	2020-09-03	16	None
204	Windsor	Letter	No	Correspondence from Plaintiff Requesting Scheduling of a Evidentiary Hearing	2020-09-04	2	None
205	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Notice of Filing COURT ORDER	2020-09-09	4	None
206	Court	Court		HEARING - CANCELED Cancelled Evidentiary Hearing Munyon, Lisa T.	2020-09-14	0	
207	Defendants	Response	No	DEFENDANTS RESPONSE TO PRO SE PLAINTIFF S MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION	2020-09-21	3	None
208	Court	Court		Court Minutes	2020-09-21	1	
209	Court	Court		HEARING Case Management Conference Kest, John Marshall (Actual: Kest, John Marshall)	2020-09-21	0	
210	Defendants	Notice	No	Notice of Hearing on the 29th day of September, 2020 at 9:00 a.m.	2020-09-23	3	None
211	Court	Order	Yes	Order for Continuance Denied	2020-09-24	2	None
212	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Motion for Continuance of September 29, 2020 Hearing	2020-09-24	3	None
212.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Exhibits to Motion for Continuance of September 29, 2020 Hearing	2020-09-24	3	None
213	Windsor	Response	Yes	Notice of Filing corrected verified response to motion to dismiss, motion to strike and motion for sanctions	2020-09-24	33	None

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
214	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Motion TO CANCEL SEPTEMBER 29, 2020 HEARING AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS	2020-09-27	10	Admin Order 2012-03
215	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion TO CANCEL SEPTEMBER 29, 202 HEARING AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS	2020-09-27	48	Admin Order 2012-03
216	Windsor	Disqualify	No	Yes	Supplement to Motion to Disqualify or Recuse	2020-09-28	13	FS 38.10 & more
217	Windsor	Disqualify	Yes	Yes	Motion to Disqualify or Recuse JUDGE MARSHAL KEST	2020-09-28	12	FS 38.10 & more
217.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Disqualify or Recuse JUDGE MARSHAL KEST	2020-09-28	26	FS 38.10 & more
218	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter advising of filing a Motion to Disqualify	2020-09-28	1	FS 38.10 & more
219	Windsor	Disqualify	No	Yes	Certificate OF CONFERENCE REGARDING MOTION TO CANCEL SEPTEMBER 29, 2020 HEARING	2020-09-28	6	1 380
220	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	No	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration OF ORDERS OF JUDGE LISA T MUNYON	2020-09-29	4	FRJA 2.330(h)
221	Court	Court	No	No	Court Minutes HEARING Motion Kest, John Marshall (Actual: Kest, John Marshall)	2020-09-29	2	None
222	Court	Court	No	No	HEARING Kest, John Marshall (Actual: Kest, John Marshall)	2020-09-29		
223	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Motion to Disqualify Judge John Marshall Kest	2020-09-30	2	FRJA 2.330(g)
224	Defendants	Motion - Defendant	No	No	Motion for Rehearing OF MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON POTENTIAL MISCOMMUNICATION	2020-10-01	3	None
225	Windsor	Appeal	No	Yes	Notice of Intent to file petition for writ of prohibition	2020-10-01	2	None
226	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Defendants Motion to Dismiss & For Sanctions	2020-10-01	5	None
227	Windsor	Response	Yes	Yes	Response TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR REHEARING OF MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON POTENTIAL MISCOMMUNICATION	2020-10-02	13	Many

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
227.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Response TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR REHEARING OF MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON POTENTIAL MISCOMMUNICATION	2020-10-02	8	Many
228	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Defits Motion for Rehearing on Motion to Dismiss Based on Potential Miscommunication	2020-10-19	2	None
229	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Granting Motion to Amend complaint deemed filed as of the date of this order and granting in part/denying in part order on multiple motions	2020-10-20	9	None
230	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting hearings	2020-10-21	2	None
231	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter requesting hearings	2020-10-21	3	None
232	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter transmitting filings to Clerk	2020-10-29	1	None
233	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Letter with courtesy copies for Judicial Assistant	2020-10-29	1	None
234	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request to Produce	2020-10-30	3	1-350
235	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request to Produce	2020-10-30	4	1-350
236	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request for Admissions	2020-10-30	4	None
237	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Response to Request for Admissions	2020-10-30	3	None
238	Defendants	Discovery	No	No	Notice of Service of Answers to Interrogatories	2020-10-30	2	1-340
239	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Motion to Stay	2020-11-01	3	None
240	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order directing defendant to respond to plaintiff's emergency motion for stay or continuance	2020-11-03	1	None
241	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	No	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration OF ORDERS OF JUDGE JOHN MARSHALL KEST	2020-11-03	3	None
242	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Defendants' notice of opposition to plaintiff's emergency motion for stay or continuance or in the alternative their motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint without prejudice	2020-11-04	4	None
243	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	Yes	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration of order of judge John Marshall Kest dated 10/20/2020	2020-11-09	8	None
244	Defendants	Answer	No	No	Answer to Amended Complaint or Petition	2020-11-09	5	None

Doc#	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
244.1	Defendants	Exhibits - Defendants	No	No	Exhibits to Answer to Amended Complaint or Petition	2020-11-09	73	None
245	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying plaintiff's emergency motion for stay or continuance and plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of orders	2020-11-12	2	None
246	Windsor	Disqualify	Yes	Yes	Motion to Disqualify or Recuse Judge John Marshall Kest Second Motion	2020-11-19	13	FS 38-10 & others
246.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Disqualify or Recuse Judge John Marshall Kest Second Motion	2020-11-19	28	FS 38-10 & others
247	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying plaintiff's second verified motion to disqualify Judge John Marshall Kest	2020-11-20	2	Ardis v Ardis
248	Windsor	Appeal	No	Yes	Notice of Intent to File Petition for Writ of Prohibition	2020-11-23	2	None
249	Defendants	Motion - Defendant	No	No	Motion FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO OMNIBUS ORDER ON MULTIPLE MOTIONS by ROBERT KEITH LONGEST & BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC	2020-11-24	3	None
249.1	Defendants	Exhibits	No	No	Exhibits to Motion FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO OMNIBUS ORDER ON MULTIPLE MOTIONS by ROBERT KEITH LONGEST & BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, LLC	2020-11-24	9	None
250	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice of Unavailability	2020-12-07	1	None
251	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	Yes	Yes	Motion to Stay	2020-12-18	3	None
252	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Emergency Motion to Stay	2020-12-19	2	None
253	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	WILLIAM M WINDSOR EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY	2020-12-21	3	None
253.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to WILLIAM M WINDSOR EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY	2020-12-21	65	None
254	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice of Hearing 02/02/2021 at 11:00 AM	2020-12-24	3	None
255	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying emergency motion to stay	2020-12-29	2	None

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
256	Defendants	Affidavit - Defendant	Yes	Yes	Notice of Filing Affidavit of Scott L. Astrin	2021-01-25	5	None
257	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Motion to Stay and/or Continuance Emergency Pltf. William M. Windsor	2021-01-28	3	None
257.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Stay and/or Continuance Emergency Pltf. William M. Windsor	2021-01-27	65	None
258	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Request for Cancellation of Hearing for 02/02/2021	2021-01-27	3	None
258.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Request for Cancellation of Hearing for 02/02/2021	2021-01-27	5	None
259	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	No	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration of Emergency Motion for Stay and/or Continuance	2021-01-28	3	None
260	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Motion to Stay and/or Continue	2021-01-28	2	None
261	Windsor	Motion - Continuance	No	Yes	Motion for Stay AND CONTINUANCE	2021-01-30	4	None
262	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	No	Yes	Amended Motion for Reconsideration of orders of Judge John Marshall Kest	2021-01-31	4	Arnold v Massebeau
262.1	Windsor	Exhibits	No	Yes	Exhibits to Amended Motion for Reconsideration of orders of Judge John Marshall Kest	2021-01-31	61	Arnold v Massebeau
263	Windsor	Replaced	No	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration of orders of Judge John Marshall Kest	2021-01-31	3	None
264	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying plaintiff's emergency motion to stay and/or continuance	2021-02-01	1	None
265	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying plaintiff's emergency motion for reconsideration of orders of Judge John Marshall Kest	2021-02-01	1	None
266	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Petitioner's motion to disqualify judge	2021-02-02	2	None
267	Court	Court	No	No	Court Minutes	2021-02-02	2	None
268	Windsor	Certificate	Yes	Yes	Motion to Disqualify or Recuse IS FILED IN GOOD FAITH.	2021-02-02	4	None
269	Windsor	Disqualify	Yes	Yes	Motion to Disqualify or Recuse JUDGE JEFFREY I. ASHTON	2021-02-02	13	FS 38-10 & others
270	Windsor	Affidavit	Yes	Yes	Affidavit OF PREJUDICE OF JUDGE JEFFREY L. ASHTON	2021-02-02	25	None

Date	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
271	Windsor	Affidavit	Yes	Yes	Notice of Filing AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED FEBRUARY 1, 2021	2021-02-02	24	None
272	Court	Court			HEARING Motion Ashton, Jeffrey L (Actual: Ashton, Jeffrey L)	2021-02-02		
273	Defendants	Letter	No	Yes	Correspondence	2021-02-03	1	None
274	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Granting defendant's motion for attorney fees and costs	2021-02-05	2	None
275	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	Yes	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration	2021-02-14	28	None
275.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Motion for Reconsideration	2021-02-14	23	None
276	Windsor	Appeal	Yes	Yes	Notice of Filing PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION	2021-02-15	53	PRAP 9-100
277	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order on Defendants Motion for reconsideration on 2/4/2021 order of Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton	2021-02-16	1	None
278	Defendants	Motion - Defendant	No	No	Motion TO REQUIRE PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIAM WINDSOR'S SUBMISSIONS TO THE COURT BE REVIEWED, APPROVED AND SIGNED BY A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BAR AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW	2021-02-17	11	None
278.1	Defendants	Exhibits	No	No	Exhibits to Motion TO REQUIRE PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIAM WINDSOR'S SUBMISSIONS TO THE COURT BE REVIEWED, APPROVED AND SIGNED BY A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BAR AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW	2021-02-17	31	None
279	Windsor	Notice	Yes	Yes	Notice of Unavailability	2021-02-17	2	None
280	Windsor	Response	Yes	Yes	Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Strike Defs. Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Pltf's Submissions to the Court be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and Memorandum of Law Emergency Pltf. William Windsor	2021-02-18	7	Many

Doc #	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
280.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Strike Defts. Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Pltf's Submissions to the Court be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and Memorandum of Law Emergency Pltf. William Windsor	2021-02-18	36	Many
281	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Pltfs Motion for Reconsideration on 2/4/21 Order of Judge Jeffrey L-Ashton	2021-02-19	1	None
282	Defendants	Notice	No	No	Notice of Hearing APRIL 6TH, 2021 @10:15 A.M.	2021-02-22	2	None
283	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying Motion to Strike (emergency) Defts Motion to Require Pro Se Pltf Submissions to the Court be Reviewed Approved & Signed by A Member of the Florida Bar & Memorandum of Law	2021-02-23	2	None
284	Windsor	Letter	No	Yes	Notice of Filing letter to Judge Jeffrey L-ashton regarding hearing	2021-02-25	5	None
285	Windsor	Motion for Reconsideration	Yes	Yes	Motion for Reconsideration OF PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDNTS ROBERT KEITH LONGEST AND BOISE CASCADE BUILDINGS MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION LLC. EMERGENCY MOTION TO REQUIRE PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIAM WINDSOR'S SUBMISSI	2021-02-26	33	Many
286	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Memorandum of Law Regarding Pleadings Signed by Member of Florida Bar	2021-02-26	93	None
287	Court	Court	Yes	Yes	Certified Copy Issued	2021-03-02	2	None
288	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order to Show Cause 04/05/21 10:30 am	2021-03-02	2	None
289	Defendants	Motion - Defendant	?	?	Motion TO REQUIRE PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIAM WINDSOR'S SUBMISSIONS TO THE COURT BE REVIEWED, APPROVED AND SIGNED BY A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BAR AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW AND MOTION TO FIND PRO SE PLAINTIFF WILLIMA	2021-03-03	52	?
290	Court	Order	Yes	Yes	Order Denying motion for reconsideration of plaintiffs emergency motion	2021-03-03	2	None

Doc#	Party	Category	Sworn	Signed	Filing	Date	Pages	Legal Authority
291	Windsor	Motion - Hearing	Yes	Yes	Amended Motion for Hearing	2021-03-10	3	None
292	Windsor	Replaced	Yes	Yes	Motion for 16 Hour Hearing	2021-03-10	3	None
293	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Notice of Taking Depositions	2021-03-11	8	
294	Windsor	Discovery	No	Yes	Notice of Taking Depositions	2021-03-11	8	
295	Windsor	Motion	Yes	Yes	Motion to Strike ANSWER AND AMENDED ANSWER, ENTER A DECREE PRO CONFESSO; ENTER JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFF; AND SCHEDULE THE JURY TRIAL FOR DAMAGES	2021-03-12	6	1.140, 1.500, & more
295.1	Windsor	Exhibits	Yes	Yes	Exhibits to Motion to Strike ANSWER AND AMENDED ANSWER; ENTER A DECREE PRO CONFESSO; ENTER JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFF; AND SCHEDULE THE JURY TRIAL FOR DAMAGES	2021-03-12	97	1.140, 1.500, & more
296	Windsor	Motion	Yes	Yes	Motion to Strike STRANGE HIDDEN DOCKET ENTRY AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW	2021-03-12	3	None
297	Court	Court	Yes	Yes	Subpoena Issued	2021-03-12	11	None
298	Court	Court	Yes	Yes	Subpoena Issued	2021-03-12	11	None

Appendix

45

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

DEFENDANTS.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ACCOMMODATIONS
FOR SENIOR CITIZEN WITH DISABILITIES

COMES NOW, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files this MOTION
FOR ACCOMMODATIONS FOR SENIOR CITIZEN WITH DISABILITIES, pursuant to
common decency and the Court's inherent powers. Windsor shows the Court as follows:

1. Windsor is a 72½-year-old senior citizen. He is an American with disabilities. He has been in poor health since the DEFENDANTS' 18-wheeler hit him at 70-miles-per-hour. He is in constant pain from nine herniated disks in his neck and back, and walking is a problem. He uses a cane, but he can't go far due to the pain. He also has an inoperable abdominal injury – Diastasis Recti that doctors have said was caused by the accident. Windsor was in very good shape before the accident. The damage done to him requires that he now sleeps on a couch with his back pressed up against the cushions on the back of the couch for support. This blocks Windsor from the pain of turning in the night. He can sleep only two hours or so at a time. Once he wakes up, he finds it difficult to get back to sleep. The abdominal injury causes him to cough repeatedly when reclining. He has to reach a state of exhaustion before the need for sleep

overcomes the cough. Windsor's new life is sleeping two hours at a time with work for an hour or so until he can no longer keep his eyes open. Before the accident, he could sleep like a normal person in a bed and get six to eight-hours sleep a night. [AFFIDAVIT of William M. Windsor dated March 12, 2021, Paragraphs 2-4.]

2. Windsor is indigent and cannot afford an attorney to represent him. He does all of his legal work himself.

3. Windsor has Glaucoma and has been experiencing vision problems. He was hospitalized at Advent Health Waterman when this Court last held a hearing on February 2, 2021. He spent eight hours in the hospital at a cost of \$2,986.83. [EXHIBIT A.]

4. Windsor has been diagnosed with "Essential Tremors." An Essential Tremor is a neurological disorder that causes involuntary and rhythmic shaking. Essential Tremors are often confused with Parkinson's Disease. The tremors are aggravated by emotional stress. One of the symptoms that worsens over time is difficulty writing legibly. Windsor's handwriting has always been atrocious, but now he often cannot even read what he has scribbled. Windsor types with only two fingers, and the tremors cause a lot of mistakes. Windsor does not have the ability to easily type what he sees or hears as he has to be looking at the keyboard, and he probably types only 30-words-per-minute now.

5. Since the accident, Windsor has experienced cognitive decline. His short-term memory has become poor. He can't remember things that just happened.

6. Windsor cannot afford a court reporter, so he can't remember much of what happened at hearings or in telephone conversations. He takes notes, but he finds he was not quick enough to take the detail needed and then he can't read some of what he wrote.

7. Windsor is a victim of intentional infliction of emotional distress, and Judge John Marshall Kest approved the addition of this cause of action in this case. Attorney Scott L. Astrin routinely harasses Windsor by email, and his dishonesty and harassment exacerbate the emotional distress that Windsor is enduring. Windsor was forced to block attorney Scott L. Astrin's email. The attorneys for the DEFENDANTS were notified of this, but they continue to use Scott L. Astrin's email so Windsor will be unaware of their Court filings.

8. As accommodations for his disabilities, Windsor requests the following accommodations:

- a. Permit Windsor to record hearings so he can refer back to hear what happened;
- b. Require the DEFENDANTS to have a court reporter at every hearing, tape record the hearing, and provide a copy of the recording to Windsor at no charge;
- c. Permit Windsor to file a written response following each hearing before the Court issues an order so he can have time to listen to the recording and respond without stress;
- d. Provide a wheelchair if Windsor is required to appear in Court;
- e. Require the DEFENDANTS to communicate with Windsor only in writing;
- f. Repeat the February 2, 2021 so Windsor will not be denied his due process rights; and
- g. Require that Scott L. Astrin cease sending emails to Windsor or having the filing portal use his email to send emails to Windsor since his email was blocked by Windsor due to harassment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Windsor moves the Court to grant this motion; order that Windsor is permitted to record hearings so he can refer back to hear what happened; require the DEFENDANTS to have a court reporter at every hearing, tape record the hearing, and provide a copy of the recording to Windsor at no charge; permit Windsor to file a written response following each hearing before the Court issues an order so he can have time to listen to the recording and respond without stress; require the DEFENDANTS to communicate with Windsor only in writing; provide a wheelchair if Windsor is required to appear in Court; repeat the February 2, 2021 and void the order issued thereafter so Windsor will not be denied his due process rights; require that Scott L. Astrin cease sending emails to Windsor or having the filing portal use his email to send emails to Windsor since his email was blocked by Windsor due to harassment; and grant such other and further relief as is deemed just and proper.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing by Electronic Mail as follows:

David I. Wynne
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605, Tampa, Florida 33602
david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com
813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110 -- Fax: 813-649-8362

Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton
37orange@ninthcircuit.org

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that I contacted the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS by email in a good faith effort to resolve this matter as well as to obtain dates for a hearing. There was no response.

This 18th day of March, 2021,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William M. Windsor", written over a horizontal line.

William M. Windsor

EXHIBIT

A

AdventHealth

770 W. Granada Blvd Suite 203
Ormond Beach, FL 32174-5179
Return: Mail Only

Electronic Service Requested

2122000829 PRESORT PBPS002



WILLIAM WINDSOR
100 E OAK TERRACE DR APT B3
LEESBURG FL 34748-4446

Account Summary

Access Code: 14813401

Statement Date	02/23/2021
Total Patient Balance	\$90.00
Payment Plan Amount Due	\$0.00
Amount Due Not on Payment Plan	\$90.00
Total Amount Due	\$90.00
<i>Payment Due Date Upon Receipt</i>	

Payment Options

Payment Plan

\$30.00

x 3 months

OR

Pay In Full

\$90.00

Due 03/25/2021

View All Options: billpay.adventhealth.com

Amount Due Upon Receipt

\$90.00

Payment Methods



Pay online today
billpay.adventhealth.com

Your Access Code: 14813401



Pay by phone 24 hours a day
(352) 560-7160

Important Messages

Your health insurance claim was submitted and has been processed by your health insurance provider for recent care administered by our healthcare organization; however, there is a remaining balance due.

Any financial activity from your statement date forward will be reflected on your statement. If you would like an itemized bill, please contact Customer Service. For questions or to inquire about financial assistance please call (352) 253-3311, or go to adventhealthwaterman.com

Contact Us

(352) 253-3311

Hours of operation:

Monday - Thursday 8:00 am - 5:00 pm and Friday 8:00 am - 4:00 pm

Please detach and return bottom portion with your payment.

Patients LOVE Paying Online!



4.6 out of 5 stars

billpay.adventhealth.com

Easy & Secure From Any Device



Still prefer mailing a check?

Please make check payable to **AdventHealth Waterman** and be sure to include your **Statement Number** on your check.

Amount Due
\$90.00

Statement Number
18209707

Guarantor Name
WILLIAM WINDSOR

ADVENTHEALTH WATERMAN
PO BOX 628098
ORLANDO, FL 32862-8098



2 00001614363 00018209707 000000000000 00000090000

Access Code
Statement Date

14813401
02/23/2021

Accounts Not On Payment Plan

Service Detail	Description	Charges	Insurance	Patient	Patient
			Payments & Adjustments	Payments & Adjustments	
Encounter: 3998572	Patient Name: William Windsor				
2/2/21	Emergency Center	\$2,986.83			
	Negotiated Discounts		-\$2,896.83		
	Visit Totals	\$2,986.83	-\$2,896.83	\$0.00	\$90.00
	Accounts (Non-Pay Plan) Totals	\$2,986.83	-\$2,896.83	\$0.00	\$90.00

Appendix

46

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-0

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DECLARE HE IS NOT OBLIGATED TO COMPLY WITH
THE FLORIDA HANDBOOK ON CIVIL DISCOVERY OR THE FLORIDA RULES OF
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT**

COMES NOW, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files this MOTION
TO DECLARE HE IS NOT OBLIGATED TO COMPLY WITH THE FLORIDA HANDBOOK
ON CIVIL DISCOVERY OR THE FLORIDA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT.

Windsor shows the Court as follows:

1. The Plaintiff is not an attorney. The Plaintiff is pro se, and he does all of his legal work alone.
2. The Plaintiff had never heard of The Florida Handbook on Civil Discovery. Until an attorney claimed Windsor was bound by it. Google led him to a federal court website. The United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida says on its website: "This handbook is neither substantive law nor inflexible rule; it is an expression of generally acceptable discovery practice in the Middle District." So, this Handbook does not apply to state court, and it is meaningless in this case and is nothing that a pro se party should even know exists.

3. In a search of every appellate court decision in the state of Florida, The Florida Handbook on Civil Discovery has never been cited: "No files retrieved in search."
4. Similarly, the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct do not apply to pro se parties.
5. Pro se parties are not obligated to adhere to the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct. Judge John Marshall Kest falsely and maliciously claimed this. Those Rules apply exclusively to LAWYERS. Windsor conducted a search of every appellate court decision in the history of Florida, and there is no case to provide the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct apply to pro se parties. The word "lawyer" appears 107 times in the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct. The terms "pro se" and "non lawyer" never appear once. [AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12, 2021, EXHIBIT 2348.] [AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12, 2021, EXHIBIT 2535.]
6. Claiming that Windsor must comply with the Florida Handbook on Civil Discovery or the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct is a violation of Windsor's legal and due process rights.
7. If this Court does not grant this Motion, Windsor will take this matter to the Florida Supreme Court. There should be a decision for the benefit of all pro se parties.
8. This Court is asked to order that the Plaintiff is not obligated to comply with the Florida Handbook on Civil Discovery or the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

9. Wherefore, Windsor moves the Court for an order stating that he is not obligated to comply with the Florida Handbook on Civil Discovery; stating that he is not obligated to

comply with the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct; and granting such other and further relief as is deemed just and proper.

This 18th day of March, 2021.



William M. Windsor

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 18th day of March, 2021,




William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that I contacted the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS by email in a good faith effort to resolve this matter as well as to obtain dates for a hearing. There was no response.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor

Appendix

47

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DECLARE THAT ALL STATEMENTS BY ATTORNEYS
THAT PURPORT TO BE FACTS IN PLEADINGS OR IN HEARINGS MUST BE
STRICKEN UNLESS THE ATTORNEY FILED AN AFFIDAVIT SWORN UNDER
PENALTY OF PERJURY OR IS AT AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING WHEN SWORN
TO TELL THE TRUTH UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY**

COMES NOW, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files this MOTION
TO DECLARE THAT ALL STATEMENTS BY ATTORNEYS THAT PURPORT TO BE
FACTS IN PLEADINGS OR IN HEARINGS MUST BE STRICKEN UNLESS THE
ATTORNEY FILED AN AFFIDAVIT SWORN UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY OR IS AT
AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING WHEN SWORN TO TELL THE TRUTH UNDER PENALTY
OF PERJURY. Windsor shows the Court as follows:

1. In this case, the attorneys have made statements that purport to be facts without an
affidavit sworn under penalty of perjury and at evidentiary hearings when the attorneys had not
been sworn to tell the truth under penalty of perjury. EXHIBIT C to the AFFIDAVIT OF
WILLIAM M. WINDSOR DATED MARCH 12, 2021 lists all the pleadings. The second

column identifies the Party. The fourth column shows No if the pleading was neither sworn nor accompanied by an affidavit.

2. Attorneys may not present facts, only legal arguments. This legal requirement must be made clear to the attorneys as they have violated this requirement in every pleading and at every hearing in this case.

3. An attorney's unsworn statements of fact do not establish a fact. *See Leon Shaffer Golnick Advertising, Inc. v. Cedar*, 423 So. 2d 1015 (Fla. 4th DCA 1982); *Arnold v. Arnold*, 889 So. 2d 215 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004); *H.K. Development, LLC v. Greer*, 32 So. 3d 178 n 4 (Fla. 1st DCA 2010). It is not evidence. *See Smith v. Smith*, 64 So. 3d 169 (Fla. 4th DCA 2011) ("As we have explained, we reject the use of unsworn assertions made by attorneys as evidence."). A trial court "cannot rely upon these unsworn statements of fact as the basis for the trial court's factual determinations... [and the appellate court] cannot so consider them on review of the record." *See Leon Shaffer Golnick Advertising, Inc. v. Cedar*, 423 So. 2d 1015 (Fla. 4th DCA 1982).

4. In *Brown v. School Bd. of Palm Beach County*, 855 So. 2d 1267 (Fla. 4th DCA 2003), the trial court erred by relying on the unsworn statements of counsel in making its decision and thus, the appellate court reversed the trial court's decision and remanded for further proceedings. In order to establish a fact, the attorney should provide sworn testimony through a witness or a stipulation to which his opponent agrees. *See Leon Shaffer Golnick Advertising, Inc. v. Cedar*, 423 So. 2d 1015 (Fla. 4th DCA 1982); *Brown*, 855 So. 2d at 1269-1270.

"Argument by counsel who is not under oath is not evidence." *See Murphy v. State*, 667 So.2s 375 (Fla. 1st DCA 1995); *State v. T.A.*, 528 So.2d 974 (Fla. 2d DCA 1988); *McKenney v. State*, 967 So.2d 951, 32 Fla. L. Weekly D2375 (Fla.App. Dist.3 10/03/2007); *Steinhardt v. InterCondominium Group*, 771 So.2d 614, 25 Fla. L. Weekly D2713 (Fla.App. Dist.4 11/22/2000); *SVI Capital, LLC v. Bank of America, N.A.*, 164 So.3d 36, 40 Fla.L. Weekly D 931 (Fla.App. Dist.4 04/22/2015); *Daughtrey v. Daughtrey*,

944 So.2d 1145, 31 Fla. L. Weekly D3080 (Fla.App. Dist.2 12/08/2006).)

“A trial court may not rely on argument by counsel to make factual determinations. *Ordonez v. State*, 862 So.2d 927, 930 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004); *see also State v. Thompson*, 852 So.2d 877, 878 (Fla. 2d DCA 2003) (holding that argument of counsel is not evidence); *DiSarrio v. Mills*, 711 So.2d 1355, 1357 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998) (“Argument by counsel who is not under oath is not evidence.”).” (*State v. Jones*, 30 So.3d 619, 35 Fla. L. Weekly D583 (Fla.App. Dist.2 03/12/2010).)

“A trial court may not rely on argument by counsel to make factual determinations.” *State v. Jones*, 30 So.3d 619, 622 (Fla. 2d DCA 2010) (first citing *Ordonez v. State*, 862 So.2d 927, 930 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004); then citing *State v. Thompson*, 852 So.2d 877, 878 (Fla. 2d DCA 2003) (holding that argument of counsel is not evidence); and then citing *DiSarrio v. Mills*, 711 So.2d 1355, 1357 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998) (“Argument by counsel who is not under oath is not evidence.”). (*State v. Crumbley*, 2D16-3872, 2D16-3875 (Fla.App. Dist.2 05/23/2018).)

5. Argument of counsel is not evidence.

6. This is clearly established by the Eleventh Circuit and every federal appellate

court:

(*Ayers v. Harrison*, 14-12250, 14-14434 (11th Cir. 05/26/2016); *Coquina Investments v. TD Bank, N.A.*, 760 F.3d 1300, 25 Fla.L. Weekly Fed. C 230 (11th Cir. 07/29/2014); *United States v. Bascaro*, 742 F.2d 1335 (11th Cir. 10/01/1984); 06/17/81 *Michael Moses Schneider v. Lockheed Aircraft*; 03/29/93 *United States of America v. Arnold Griffith*; 06/25/69 *United States of America v. Leo Jacobs*; *Drayton v. Scallon*, 15-55458 (9th Cir. 03/27/2017); *Smiley v. Gary Crossley Ford, Inc.*, 16-2171 (8th Cir. 06/12/2017); *Leavitt v. Arave*, 383 F.3d 809 (09/07/2004); *Pinkerton v. Colorado Dep't of Transportation*, 563 F.3d 1052 (10th Cir. 04/16/2009); *United States v. Ward*, No. 04-6066 (10th Cir. 05/30/2006); *United States v. Austin*, 548 F.2d 759 (8th Cir. 02/03/1977); *United States v. Klugman*, 506 F.2d 1378 (8th Cir. 11/20/1974); *Jones v. United States*, 358 F.2d 383 (8th Cir. 04/11/1966); *United States v. Thomas*, No. 09-40989 (5th Cir. 11/24/2010); *United States v. Thomas*, No. 08-2396 (7th Cir. 10/07/2009); *United States v. Passmore*, 671 F.2d 915 (5th Cir. 04/01/1982); *Polansky v. CNA Insurance Co.*, 852 F.2d 626 (1st Cir. 07/25/1988); *Tankleff v. Senkowski*, 135 F.3d 235 (2d Cir. 01/12/1998); *Floyd v. Meachum*, 907 F.2d 347 (2nd Cir. 06/29/1990); *United States of America v. Tiem Trinh*, 665 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 12/20/2011); *Hatfield-Bermudez v. Aldanondo-Rivera*, No. 05-2235 (1st Cir. 08/06/2007); *United States v. Pena-Lora*, 225 F.3d 17 (1st Cir. 09/01/2000); *United States v. Rose*, 104 F.3d 1408 (1st Cir. 01/30/1997); *United States v. Davis*, 914 F.2d 340 (1st Cir. 09/19/1990); *Morrissey v. Morrow*, 739 F.2d 962 (4th Cir. 07/26/1984); *Butler v. United States*, 191 F.2d 433 (4th Cir. 09/15/1951).)

See also McKenney v. State, 967 So.2d 951, 32 Fla. L. Weekly D2375 (Fla.App. Dist.3 10/03/2007); *Wheeler v. State*, 31 So. 2d 713 (Fla. 4th DCA 1975); *State v. Zimmerman*,

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Windsor moves the Court to declare that ALL STATEMENTS BY
ATTORNEYS THAT PURPORT TO BE FACTS IN PLEADINGS OR IN HEARINGS MUST
BE STRICKEN UNLESS THE ATTORNEY FILED AN AFFIDAVIT SWORN UNDER
PENALTY OF PERJURY OR IS AT AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING WHEN SWORN TO
TELL THE TRUTH UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY; and grant such other and further relief
as is deemed just and proper.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my
personal knowledge.

This 18th day of March, 2021,




William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that I contacted the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS by email in a good faith effort to resolve this matter as well as to obtain dates for a hearing. There was no response.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing by Electronic Mail as follows:

David I. Wynne and Scott L. Astrin
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605
Tampa, Florida 33602

david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com
813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110
Fax: 813-649-8362

Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton
37orange@ninthcircuit.org

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

Appendix

48

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION REGARDING PRO SE VERIFICATIONS

COMES NOW, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files this Motion
Regarding Pro Se Verifications. Windsor shows the Court as follows:

1. The Rules require pro se parties to "verify" their motions and pleadings. Windsor has used and abused the good nature of the bank officers at Wells Fargo Banks with weekly, sometimes daily, visits to obtain notaries on his sworn verifications. He has spent a lot of money he can't afford to spend on candy, flowers, and stuffed animals because he knows the bankers have far better things to do.

2. Due to the Coronavirus, Windsor cannot walk in somewhere and get a notary, and he can't afford to pay. Wells Fargo does it for free for its customers. Windsor cannot do things quickly as he has to call to make an appointment and then go in a day or days later to see a notary.

3. Windsor asks the Court to approve the following VERIFICATION on future filings by Windsor: "I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge."

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

4. Wherefore, Windsor moves the Court for an order that Windsor's VERIFICATIONS in this case may be without a notary; and grant such other and further relief as is deemed just and proper.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 18th day of March, 2021,




William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that I contacted the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS by email in a good faith effort to resolve this matter as well as to obtain dates for a hearing. There was no response.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing by Electronic Mail as follows:

David I. Wynne and Scott L. Astrin
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605
Tampa, Florida 33602

david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com
813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110
Fax: 813-649-8362

Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton
37orange@ninthcircuit.org

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

Appendix

49

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANT AND ALL NON-PARTIES TO
COMPLY WITH RULE 1.280 (B) (6)**

COMES NOW, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files this Motion to
Compel Defendant and all Non-Parties to Comply with Florida Rules of Civil Procedure Rule
1.280 (B) (6) when producing documents. Windsor shows the Court as follows:

1. The defendants and non-parties have avoided producing documents requested by
claiming attorney-client privilege in Windsor's cases. No one has provided the log required by
Florida Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 1.280 (B) (6):

"(6) Claims of Privilege or Protection of Trial Preparation Materials. When a party
withholds information otherwise discoverable under these rules by claiming that it is
privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation material, the party shall make the
claim expressly and shall describe the nature of the documents, communications, or
things not produced or disclosed in a manner that, without revealing information itself
privileged or protected, will enable other parties to assess the applicability of the
privilege or protection."

2. This Court is asked to order that the Defendants and all non-parties who respond
to requests for production must comply with Rule 1.280 (B) (6). This will move the discovery

process along by eliminating the need for Windsor to file motions to compel in regard to such claims.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

3. Wherefore, Windsor moves the Court for an order compelling everyone responding to requests for production from the Plaintiff to comply with Florida Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 1.280 (B) (6) if making a claim of privilege. Any such claim must describe the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced or disclosed in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection; and grant such other and further relief as is deemed just and proper.

This 18th day of March, 2021.




William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 18th day of March, 2021.



William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that I contacted the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS by email in a good faith effort to resolve this matter as well as to obtain dates for a hearing. There was no response.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing by Electronic Mail as follows:

David I. Wynne and Scott L. Astrin
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605
Tampa, Florida 33602
david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com
813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110
Fax: 813-649-8362

Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton
37orange@ninthcircuit.org

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

Appendix 50

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WILLIAM WINDSOR,

CASE NO. 2018-CA-010270-O

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST, an individual, and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS
DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANT AND ALL NON-PARTIES
TO PRODUCE EACH SEPARATE ITEM REQUESTED FOR PRODUCTION IN A
FILE FOLDER MARKED TO SHOW THE DATE REQUESTED AND THE ITEM
NUMBER OF THE REQUEST**

COMES NOW, William M. Windsor ("Windsor" or "Plaintiff") and files this MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANT AND ALL NON-PARTIES TO PRODUCE EACH SEPARATE ITEM REQUESTED FOR PRODUCTION IN A FILE FOLDER MARKED TO SHOW THE DATE REQUESTED AND THE ITEM NUMBER OF THE REQUEST. Windsor shows the Court as follows:

1. The Plaintiff is disabled. The Plaintiff's MOTION FOR ACCOMMODATIONS FOR SENIOR CITIZEN WITH DISABILITIES is referenced and incorporated herein.
2. The disabled Plaintiff has requested and will request all documents to be produced at his home.
3. The Court is asked to order that the Defendant and all non-parties who respond to requests for production must produce requested documents where the Plaintiff specifies and must produce each separate item requested for production in a file folder marked to show the date

requested and the item number of the request. This will minimize the work that the Plaintiff must do in attempting to determine if production was responsive to his requests.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

4. Wherefore, Windsor moves the Court for an order compelling the Defendant and all non-parties who respond to requests for production must produce requested documents where the Plaintiff specifies and must produce each separate item requested for production in a file folder marked to show the date requested and the item number of the request; and grant such other and further relief as is deemed just and proper.

This 18th day of March, 2021.



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

This 18th day of March, 2021,




William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that I contacted the attorneys for the DEFENDANTS by email in a good faith effort to resolve this matter as well as to obtain dates for a hearing. There was no response.

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing by Electronic Mail as follows:

David I. Wynne
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605, Tampa, Florida 33602
david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com
813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110 -- Fax: 813-649-8362

Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton
37orange@ninthcircuit.org

This 18th day of March, 2021,



William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
billwindsor1@outlook.com
bill@billwindsor.com

Appendix

51

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN
AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 2018-CA-010270-O

DIVISION 37

WILLIAM WINDSOR

PLAINTIFF(S)

v.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST; BOISE CASCADE
BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION LLC

DEFENDANT *et al.*


ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

THIS CAUSE coming before the Court on Defendant's Motion for Protective Order filed March 15, 2021, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, does hereby

ORDER AND ADJUDGE:

1. The Defendant's Motion for Protective Order is Granted.
2. The Protective Order is issued now to be in effect until the hearing on April 6, 2021.

DONE AND ORDERED at Orlando, Orange County, Florida, this 24th day of March, 2021.



JEFFREY L. ASHTON
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished to:

A copy of the foregoing has been electronically filed with the Clerk of Courts by using the Florida Court E-Filing Portal.

Appendix

52

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN
AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 2018-CA-010270-O

DIVISION 37

WILLIAM WINDSOR
PLAINTIFF(S)

v.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST; BOISE CASCADE
BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION LLC
DEFENDANT *et al.*

**ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE ANSWER AND AMENDED
ANSWER AND PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE STRANGE
HIDDEN DOCKET ENTRY AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

THIS CAUSE coming before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Answer and Amended Answer filed March 12, 2021, and Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Strike Strange Hidden Docket Entry and Memorandum of Law filed March 12, 2021, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, does hereby

ORDER AND ADJUDGE:

1. There will be no ruling or scheduling of hearings on the Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Answer and Amended Answer, and Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Strike Strange Hidden Docket Entry and Memorandum of Law or in any other matter until after the hearing set for April 6, 2021 is held on Defendant's, Robert Keith Longest And Boise Cascade Buildings Materials Distribution L.L.C., Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Plaintiff William Windsor's Submissions to the Court be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of The Florida Bar.

DONE AND ORDERED at Orlando, Orange County, Florida, this 24th day of March, 2021.


JEFFREY L. ASHTON
Circuit Judge

Appendix

53

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

2018-CA-010270-O

WILLIAM WINDSOR

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

ROBERT KEITH LONGEST

Defendant(s).


INTERIM ORDER ON PRO SE FILINGS

WHEREAS, the Court, on March, 2 2021 set for hearing an Order to Show Cause to Plaintiff as the why the Court should not grant Defendant's Emergency Motion to Require Pro Se Plaintiff William Windsor's Submissions to the Court be Reviewed and Signed By A Member of the Florida Bar on April 5, 2021.

WHEREAS, since the issuance of the Order to Show Cause, Plaintiff has filed twenty six items with the Clerk of Court in this matter. Among the motions, was a request for sixteen hours of hearing time on the Order to Show Cause. Among the matters filed, are item described as affidavits or exhibits totaling one thousand six hundred and seventy pages.

The Clerk is hereby directed to decline to file any further documents by the pro se Plaintiff unless they contain a certificate by a member of the Florida Bar that have reviewed the matter and that the filing is appropriate. This Order shall remain in effect until close of business April, 5 2021.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, at Orlando, Orange County, Florida, on 25th day of March, 2021.


eSigned by Jeffrey Ashton 03/25/2021 11:32:25 UniMFVKWu

Jeffrey L Ashton
Circuit Judge

That the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of the Court this 25th day of March, 2021 by using the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal System. Accordingly, a copy of the foregoing is being served on this day to all attorney(s)/interested parties identified on the ePortal Electronic Service List, via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by the ePortal System.

Appendix

54

way that promotes the interests of justice." *In re McDonald*, 489 U.S. 180, 109 S. Ct. 993, 103 L. Ed. 2d 158 (1989).

WHEREFORE, the Defendants, ROBERT LONGEST and BOISE CASCADE BUILDING MATERIALS DISTRIBUTION, L.L.C., respectfully request this Court require Pro Se Plaintiff, William M. Windsor's Submissions and Pleadings to the Court Be Reviewed, Approved and Signed by a Member of the Florida Bar and/or in the alternative an attorney ad litem be appointed to review and execute any filings in this case any other relief the Court deems appropriate.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail on this 17th day of February, 2021, to: William Windsor, billwindsor1@outlook.com and bill@billwindsor.com (Plaintiff Pro Se).

/s/ Scott L. Astrin

SCOTT L. ASTRIN

Florida Bar Number 0084557

/s/ David I. Wynne, Jr.

DAVID I. WYNNE, JR.

Florida Bar Number 326290

Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin

Staff Attorneys for AIG

100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605

Tampa, FL 33602

Phone: 813-218-3110

Fax: 813-649-8362

Primary Email: tampapleadings@aig.com

Secondary Email: scott.astrin@aig.com;

anandini.maharaj@aig.com; david.wynne@aig.com

Attorney for Defendants

Appendix

55

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA**

WILLIAM M. WINDSOR,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 35-2020-CA-001438

**COACH HOUSES AT LEESBURG
CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC.;
OMAR NUSEIBEH; VICKI HEDRICK;
KAREN BOLLINGER; SHEHNEELA ARSHI;
ISABEL CAMPBELL; SERGIO NAUMOFF;
ED BROOM, JR.; MARTA CARBAJO;
SUE YOKLEY; WENDY KRAUSS; HOWARD
SOLOW; SENTRY MANAGEMENT, INC.;
CHARLIE ANN ALDRIDGE; ART SWANTON;
BRAD POMP; CLAYTON & MCCULLOH, P.A.;
BRIAN HESS; NEAL MCCULLOH; RUSSELL
KLEMM; FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
BUSINESS and PROFESSIONAL REGULATION;
MAHLON C. RHANEY; LEAH SIMMS; and
DOES 1-20,**

Defendants.

**DEFENDANTS FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL
REGULATION, MAHLON C. RHANEY, AND LEAH SIMMS'
MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER TO STAY DISCOVERY
AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

Defendants Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR), Mahlon C. Rhaney, and Leah Simms, by and through undersigned counsel, pursuant to Fla. R. Civ. P. Rule 1.280(c), hereby file this Motion for Protective Order to Stay Discovery and in support hereof state the following:

Plaintiff, William M. Windsor, filed his First Amended Complaint, hereinafter "FAC," on September 8, 2020. Plaintiff sues the Coach Houses at Leesburg Condominium Association, Inc., hereinafter the "Condo Association," where Plaintiff resides, various directors and officers of the

Condo Association, Sentry Management, Inc., the management company for the Condo Association, three employees of Sentry Management, the law firm Clayton & McCulloh, P.A., who represents the Condo Association, three attorneys from the firm, as well as the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR), and DBPR employees chief arbitrator Mahlon C. Rhaney and arbitrator Leah Simms, for their alleged role in increasing Plaintiff's monthly assessments to the Condo Association and various other wrongdoings identified by Plaintiff through his investigation "to expose the rampant violations," of the Condo Association. First Amend. Compl. at 7.

On October 28, 2020, along with his First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff served the following discovery requests on Defendants: 1) First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Florida DBPR, 2) First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Rhaney, 3) First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Simms, 4) First Request for Admissions to Florida DBPR, 5) First Request for Admissions to Defendant Rhaney, 6) First Request for Admissions to Defendant Simms, 7) First Request for Production to Defendant DBPR, 8) First Request for Production to Defendant Rhaney, and 9) First Request for Production to Defendant Simms. Defendants have until December 11, 2020, to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests.

In response to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Defendants DBPR, Mahlon C. Rhaney, and Leah Simms filed their motion to dismiss on November 13, 2020, and moved for dismissal based upon judicial immunity, sovereign immunity, failure to state a cause of action, and failure to adhere to pre-suit notice requirements for suing state government. Ex. A.

Judicial immunity and sovereign immunity are immunity from suit and not just from the assessment of damages. *See Kalmanson v. Lockett*, 848 So. 2d 374, 378 (Fla. 5th DCA 2003); *Harlow v. Fitzgerald*, 457 U.S. 800, 817 (1982). Since Defendants are arguing immunities as bases

for dismissal, Defendants move the Court to enter a protective order to stay discovery until the issues of judicial immunity and sovereign immunity are denied, or when Defendants choose to answer a forthcoming amended complaint.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Pursuant to Florida Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 1.280(c), “[u]pon motion by a party..., and for good cause shown, the court in which the action is pending may make any order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense that justice requires, including...1) that the discovery not be had; 2) that the discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions.” See *Waite v. Wellington Boats, Inc.*, 459 So.2d 425 (Fla. 1st DCA 1984).

Until immunity is resolved, discovery shall not be allowed because “inquiries of this kind can be peculiarly disruptive of effective government.” *Harlow v. Fitzgerald*, 457 U.S. 800, 817 (1982) (discovery is improper until the court resolves the question of immunity); see also *Mitchell v. Forsyth*, 472 U.S. 511, 526 (1985). “The policy behind immunity does not merely extend to suits, it also extends to protection against discovery.” *Ray v. Judicial Corr. Servs., Inc.*, No. 2:12-CV-02819-RDP, 2014 WL 5090723, at 2 (N.D. Ala. Oct. 9, 2014), quoting *In re Lickman*, 304 B.R. 897, 903 (M.D.Fla. 2004). See also *Junior v. Reed*, 693 So. 2d 586, 592 (Fla. 1st DCA 1997). “To preserve its purpose, ‘entitlement to absolute immunity must be determined as early as possible.’” *Brown v. Crawford Cty., Ga.*, 960 F.2d 1002, 1011 (11th Cir. 1992), quoting *Marx v. Gumbinner*, 855 F.2d 783, 788 (11th Cir. 1988); see also *Spence-Jones v. Rundle*, 991 F. Supp. 2d 1221, 1238 (S.D. Fla. 2013).

In the instant action, Plaintiff contends that he presented his issues with his Condo Association “to the DBPR in an effort to resolve matters without litigation, but the DBPR,

[Defendant Rhaney], and [Defendant Simms] appear to be corrupt and have worked with [the other defendants] to illegally deny relief to the Plaintiff." First Amend. Compl. at 12, 15. Defendants moved to dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint based upon judicial immunity for Defendants chief arbitrator Rhaney and arbitrator Simms, and sovereign immunity for Defendant DBPR. As discussed above, immunity is a shield from suit as well as liability. Any discovery requests made by Plaintiff on Defendants before the issue of immunity is resolved should be stayed until immunity is denied, or Defendants file an answer to a future complaint.

GOOD FAITH CERTIFICATION

The undersigned has conferred with Plaintiff regarding the issues raised in this motion. Plaintiff states that  Ex. A.

WHEREFORE, Defendants DBPR, Rhaney, and Simms, respectfully move this Honorable Court to enter a protective order staying all forms of discovery against Defendants until the issue of immunity is denied either in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, in some other subsequent motion to dismiss should Plaintiff amend his complaint further, or when Defendants file an answer, and for any such further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

ASHLEY MOODY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/David Asti

David Asti

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Florida Bar No. 0102964

Office of the Attorney General

501 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1100

Tampa, Florida 33602-5242

T- (813) 233-2880; F - (813) 233-2886

David.Asti@MyFloridaLegal.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on November 11, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court through the Florida Courts E-filing Portal which will serve notice to those capable of receiving electronic filing. I further certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by First Class U.S Mail to Plaintiff, *pro se*, William M. Windsor, 100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3, Leesburg, Florida 34748 and to billwindsor1@outlook.com and bill@billwindsor.com.

/s/David Asti
David Asti
Assistant Attorney General

Appendix

56

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Verdict	Memo	Show Cause	Date
6	Humes v. Solanki	No	The Trial Court entered an order requiring future filings to be signed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. The appellate court overturned the order as Humes' due process rights were violated when there was no show cause order.	No	Yes	NO*	3D19-0601 (Fla.App. Dist.3 04/08/2020)
7	Huminski v. Town of Gilbert	No	Filed identical petitions in multiple cases in violation of a court order. These were frivolous and repetitious filings.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	2D20-1557 (Fla.App. Dist.2 07/08/2020)
8	Jenkins v. Motorola	No	Insufficient information in Opinion.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	62 So.3d 1210
9	Johnson v. Bank of New York Mellon Trust Co.	No	Multiple pro se petitions in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for this Court's review; insufficient information in the Opinion.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	134 So.3d 448 (Fla. 12/18/2012)
10	Johnson v. Wilbur	No	22 cases showing a profound lack of understanding of the court system in general and of the appellate system in particular	Unknown	Yes	Yes	981 So.2d 479
11	Lomax v. Taylor	No	Over 10 years, Mattie Lomax a/k/a Tama Twynette has initiated 45 cases in this Court that the Court has either transferred or dismissed	Unknown	Yes	Yes	143 So.3d 920 (Fla. 04/29/2014)
12	Lussy v. Fourth Dist. Court of Appeal	No	26 baseless Florida pleadings. The Court stated: "Petitioner's pro se activities before this Court have substantially interfered with the orderly process of judicial administration," so the sanction was based on FLORIDA.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	828 So.2d 1026
13	May v. Barthet	No	Numerous. Four different Florida courts ordered pleadings signed by an attorney.	Yes	Yes	Yes	934 So.2d 1184
14	Olga Maria Aguirre v. In Re: the Estate of Efrain Aguirre	No	20 meritless filings	Unknown	Yes	Yes	112 So.3d 650 (Fla.App. 04/24/2013)

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Vexatious	Memo	Show Cause	Citation	Date
15	Owens v. Forte	No	Insufficient information to know what Kevin M. Owens allegedly did. The appellate court noted that a show cause order should have been issued.	Unknown	Yes	NO*	135 So.3d 445-	39 Fla. L. Weekly D 563 (Fla.App. Dist.2 03/14/2014)
16	Riethmiller v. Riethmiller	No	Numerous pleadings devoid of merit or inappropriate for review. A pattern of instituting proceedings and then failing to properly pursue them.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	133 So.3d 926	38 Fla. L. Weekly S 884 (Fla. 12/05/2013)
17	Rivas v. Bank of New York Mellon	No	Numerous meritless and inappropriate filings in this Court pertaining to his foreclosure proceedings.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	SC17-1934 (Fla. 03/22/2018)	
18	Sibley v. Sibley	No	25 appellate proceedings found to have no merit; relitigating matters decided earlier. 12 federal court actions against judges.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	885 So.2d 980	29 Fla. L. Weekly D2449 - 29 Fla. L. Weekly D2755 (Fla.App. Dist.3 11/03/2004)
19	Smith v. Allstate Ins. Co.	No	Smith declared a Vexatious Litigant based on Florida Statute 68.093. This means in the immediately preceding 5 year period, s he had commenced, prosecuted, or maintained, pro se, five or more civil actions in any court in Florida, which actions had been finally and adversely determined against her.	Yes	Yes	Yes	925 So.2d 474 (Fla.App. Dist.3 04/12/2006)	
20	Florida Board of Bar Examiners ex rel. Ramos	Attorney	Disbarred for 20 years, but he kept filing		Yes	?	17 So.3d 268	34 Fla. L. Weekly S483 (Fla. 08/27/2009)
21	Florida Bar v. Thompson	Attorney	50 filings that were repetitive and frivolous	Unknown	Yes	?	979 So.2d 917	33 Fla. L. Weekly S216 (Fla. 03/20/2008)
22	Sibley v. Florida Judicial Qualifications Com'n	Attorney				?	973 So.2d 425	31 Fla. L. Weekly S268 (Fla. 04/27/2006)
23	The Florida Bar v. Kaimowitz	Attorney				?	SC16-1677 (Fla. 12/21/2016)	
24	The Florida Bar v. Petrano	Attorney	Constant abusive filings considered frivolous, nonmeritorious, or otherwise inappropriate	Unknown	Yes	?	153 So.3d 894	39 Fla. L. Weekly S 769 (Fla. 12/18/2014)

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Vexatious	Memo	Show Cause	Citation	Date
25	Alfred v. Barfield	Prisoner					1D17-2358 (Fla.App. Dist.1 07/25/2018)	
26	Amin v. State	Prisoner					No. 98-03712 (Fla.App. Dist.2 12/18/1998)	
27	Armstrong v. State	Prisoner					1D19-2744 (Fla.App. Dist.1 09/15/2020)	
28	Arnold v. State	Prisoner					1D16-3009 (Fla.App. Dist.1 08/25/2016)	
29	Attwood v. Singletary	Prisoner	14 petitions pending without merit; 45 cases in a year	Unknown	Yes		661 So.2d 1216	20 Fla. L. Weekly S597 (Fla. 10/26/1995)
30	Ayisayh v. State	Prisoner	Inadequate information in opinion	Unknown	Yes		135 So.3d 285 (Fla. 02/13/2014)	
31	Bacchus v. Jones	Prisoner	No justification in opinion.	Unknown	Yes		3D17-0866 (Fla.App. Dist.3 10/10/2018)	
32	Barber v. State	Prisoner	SHOW CAUSE ORDER; limited information.	Unknown	Yes		SC18-1739 (Fla. 12/19/2018)	
33	Barker v. State	Prisoner					5D18-1710 (Fla.App. Dist.5 02/08/2019)	
34	Barringer v. Halkitis	Prisoner	12 petitions related to his conviction of one count of attempted sexual battery of a victim less than twelve years old with no relief ever granted	Unknown	Yes		294 So.3d 849 (Fla. 05/07/2020)	
35	Bayson v. State	Prisoner	17 petitions in Florida Supreme Court that were frivolous, non-meritorious, or otherwise inappropriate related to his conviction	Unknown	Yes		SC19-693 (Fla. 07/31/2019)	
36	Bayson v. State	Prisoner	Michael Bayson				126 So.3d 1176	37 Fla. L. Weekly D 2012 (Fla.App. Dist.4 08/22/2012)
37	Beaton v. State	Prisoner					162 So.3d 126	39 Fla.L. Weekly D 2400 (Fla.App. Dist.4 11/19/2014)
38	Betts v. State	Prisoner					1D17-3239 (Fla.App. Dist.1 01/22/2018)	
39	Blaxton v. State	Prisoner					187 So.3d 216	41 Fla.L. Weekly S 14 (Fla. 01/21/2016)
40	Broom v. Crews	Prisoner					1D16-3407 (Fla.App. Dist.1 10/10/2016)	

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Verdicts	Memo	Show Cause	Date
41	Brown v. Bondi	Prisoner	Previously barred from "filing any future [pro se] appeals, petitions, motions, pleadings or other filings" challenging his conviction, in part because "those filings ha[ve] consumed an inordinate amount of our limited judicial resources."	Unknown	Yes	1D17-1211 (Fla.App. Dist.1 04/20/2018)	
42	Brown v. Crews	Prisoner				120 So.3d 1255	38 Fla. L. Weekly D 1911 (Fla.App. Dist.1 09/09/2013)
43	Brown v. Jones	Prisoner	Numerous, repetitive appeals to appellate court from the trial court's denials of postconviction relief after his judgment and sentence were affirmed; and more.	Unknown	Yes	1D16-4480 (Fla.App. Dist.1 06/06/2017)	
44	Brown v. McNeil	Prisoner				1D08-3141 (Fla.App. Dist.1 08/10/2017)	
45	Brown v. State	Prisoner				35 So.3d 72	35 Fla. L. Weekly D1029 (Fla.App. Dist.1 05/07/2010)
46	Bush v. Crews	Prisoner				141 So.3d 527	39 Fla. L. Weekly S 412 (Fla. 06/12/2014)
47	Butler v. State	Prisoner				55 So.3d 598	36 Fla. L. Weekly D49 (Fla.App. Dist.1 12/29/2010)
48	Campbell v. State	Prisoner	numerous meritless, repetitive, and inappropriate filings; limited information	Unknown	Yes	296 So.3d 893 (Fla. 06/11/2020)	
49	Cannie v. State	Prisoner				296 So.3d 546 (Fla.App. Dist.1 05/13/2020)	
50	Carter v. State	Prisoner				127 So.3d 572	37 Fla. L. Weekly D 2683 (Fla.App. Dist.4 11/21/2012)
51	Casey v. State	Prisoner				171 So.3d 114 (Fla. 05/15/2015)	
52	Chandler v. State	Prisoner				162 So.3d 22	39 Fla.L. Weekly D 805 (Fla.App. Dist.4 04/16/2014)
53	Chestnut v. State	Prisoner				178 So.3d 483	40 Fla.L. Weekly D 2397 (Fla.App. Dist.1 10/22/2015)
54	Clark v. Crews	Prisoner				147 So.3d 521 (Fla. 06/23/2014)	
55	Coney v. State	Prisoner				995 So.2d 1038	33 Fla. L. Weekly D2626 (Fla.App. Dist.4 11/12/2008)

Case	Prisoner	Issues	Violations	Memo	Show Cause	Date
56 Crittenden v. State	Prisoner				67 So.3d 1184	36 Fla. L. Weekly D1844 (Fla.App. Dist.5 08/19/2011)
57 Cuffy v. State	Prisoner				190 So.3d 86	40 Fla.L. Weekly D 687 (Fla.App. Dist.4 03/18/2015)
58 Desue v. Jones	Prisoner	SHOW CAUSE ORDER. 27 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for this Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC16-1222 (Fla. 09/29/2016)	
59 Duhart v. State	Prisoner				99 So.3d 995	37 Fla. L. Weekly D2527 (Fla.App. Dist.5 10/26/2012)
60 Edwards v. State	Prisoner	13 cases; insufficient information in Opinion.	Unknown	Yes	96 So.3d 1154	37 Fla. L. Weekly D2208 (Fla.App. Dist.3 09/12/2012)
61 Elmer v. State	Prisoner				1D18-950 (Fla.App. Dist.1 10/03/2018)	
62 Falls v. Jones	Prisoner	26 frivolous or meritless cases	Unknown	Yes	SC17-327 (Fla. 03/20/2017)	
63 Ferguson v. State	Prisoner				3D19-2286 (Fla.App. Dist.3 07/29/2020)	
64 Floyd v. State	Prisoner				62 So.3d 1228	36 Fla. L. Weekly D1237 (Fla.App. Dist.5 06/10/2011)
65 Fox v. Johnson	Prisoner				162 So.3d 139	40 Fla.L. Weekly D 80 (Fla.App. Dist.2 12/31/2014)
66 Fox v. State	Prisoner				60 So.3d 1177	36 Fla. L. Weekly D1078 (Fla.App. Dist.4 05/18/2011)
67 Franklin v. State	Prisoner				25 So.3d 645	35 Fla. L. Weekly D105 (Fla.App. Dist.1 12/31/2009)
68 Garland v. State	Prisoner				141 So.3d 255 (Fla.App. Dist.4 07/02/2014)	
69 Gentile v. State	Prisoner	Unrelenting repetition of his meritless claim in successive postconviction motions	Unknown	Yes	87 So.3d 55	37 Fla. L. Weekly D929 (Fla.App. Dist.4 04/18/2012)
70 Grantley v. Clerk of the Circuit Court Miami-Dade County	Prisoner				3D20-1868 (Fla.App. Dist.3 02/17/2021)	
71 Grantley v. State	Prisoner				3D19-1826 (Fla.App. Dist.3 01/22/2020)	
72 Green v. State	Prisoner				SC15-1473 (Fla. 11/16/2015)	
73 Grimsley v. Jones	Prisoner	that are either meritless or not appropriate for this Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC16-1041 (Fla. 08/23/2016)	
74 Hales v. State	Prisoner		Yes		78 So.3d 654	37 Fla. L. Weekly D134 (Fla.App. Dist.4 01/11/2012)
75 Hall v. State	Prisoner	7 appeals of his conviction, all meritless.	Unknown	Yes	94 So.3d 655	37 Fla. L. Weekly D1871 (Fla.App. Dist.1 08/08/2012)

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Verdicts	Memo	Show Cause	Citation	Date
76	Hamilton v. State	Prisoner	130 frivolous filings in the Florida Supreme Court	Unknown	Yes		945 So.2d 1121	31 Fla. L. Weekly S804 (Fla. 11/16/2006)
77	Harricharan v. State	Prisoner					5D12-4390 (Fla.App. Dist.5 06/07/2013)	
78	Harris v. Inch	Prisoner	SHOW CAUSE ORDER; 26 cases in Florida Supreme Court - frivolous, nonmeritorious, or otherwise inappropriate filings	Unknown	Yes		SC18-1984 (Fla. 02/18/2019)	
79	Harris v. State	Prisoner					168 So.3d 1280	40 Fla.L. Weekly D 1729 (Fla.App. Dist.1 07/27/2015)
80	Harvey v. State	Prisoner	6 post-conviction motions	Unknown	Yes		734 So.2d 1179	24 Fla. L. Weekly D1448 (Fla.App. Dist.3 06/23/1999)
81	Hastings v. Krischer	Prisoner	Repetitive duplicative filings	Unknown	Yes		840 So.2d 267	28 Fla. L. Weekly D156 (Fla.App. Dist.4 01/02/2003)
82	Hawkins v. Jones	Prisoner	13 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes		SC16-1644 (Fla. 11/09/2016)	
83	Hendrixson v. Frye	Prisoner					994 So.2d 1255	33 Fla. L. Weekly D2734 (Fla.App. Dist.1 11/26/2008)
84	Hickmon v. Jones	Prisoner	49 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes		SC17-997 (Fla. 09/13/2017)	
85	Hicks v. Florida Department of Corrections	Prisoner					SC20-876 (Fla. 06/18/2020)	
86	Hicks v. State	Prisoner	12 cases in Florida Supreme Court pertaining to his conviction	Unknown	Yes		SC19-1978 (Fla. 02/18/2020)	
87	Holley v. State	Prisoner					128 So.3d 111	38 Fla. L. Weekly D 2085 (Fla.App. Dist.4 10/02/2013)
88	Howard v. State	Prisoner					49 So.3d 1284	36 Fla. L. Weekly D18 (Fla.App. Dist.5 12/23/2010)
89	Hudson v. State	Prisoner	Insufficient information in Opinion.	Unknown	Yes		95 So.3d 413	37 Fla. L. Weekly D1876 (Fla.App. Dist.4 08/08/2012)
90	Humphrey v. State	Prisoner					2D18-1577 (Fla.App. Dist.2 10/31/2018)	
91	Isom v. State	Prisoner					43 So.3d 776	35 Fla. L. Weekly D1703 (Fla.App. Dist.5 07/30/2010)
92	Jackson v. FL Dept. of Corrections	Prisoner					790 So.2d 398	26 Fla. L. Weekly S169 (Fla. 03/15/2001)

Case	Prisoner	Issues	Verdicts	Memo	Show Cause	Date
93 Jackson v. State	Prisoner	20 cases in Florida Supreme Court that were meritless or not appropriate	Unknown	Yes	SC20-1098 (Fla. 09/22/2020)	
94 Jacobs v. State	Prisoner				162 So.3d 29	39 Fla. L. Weekly D 1042 (Fla.App. Dist.4 05/21/2014)
95 James v. Fox	Prisoner	12 cases in Florida Supreme Court pertaining to his conviction	Unknown	Yes	SC 20-355 (Fla. 04/08/2020)	
96 James v. State	Prisoner	Opinion claims he abused the judicial process by multiple, duplicate filings, and filings within filings	Unknown	Yes	17 So.3d 339	34 Fla. L. Weekly D1685 (Fla.App. Dist.3 08/19/2009)
97 Jean v. State	Prisoner	15 cases and 119 pleadings in the Florida Supreme Court, many incomprehensible	Unknown	Yes	906 So.2d 1055	30 Fla. L. Weekly S509 (Fla. 06/30/2005)
98 Jeanmin v. State	Prisoner				1D16-2931 (Fla.App. Dist.1 08/25/2016)	
99 Jenkins v. Inch	Prisoner				3D20-0147 (Fla.App. Dist.3 10/21/2020)	
100 Jenkins v. State	Prisoner	21 cases in 1999	Unknown	Yes	756 So.2d 1119	25 Fla. L. Weekly D1138 (Fla.App. Dist.1 05/10/2000)
101 Johnson v. Rundle	Prisoner	16 meritless pleadings regarding his conviction	Unknown	Yes	59 So.3d 1080	36 Fla. L. Weekly S9 (Fla. 01/06/2011)
102 Jones v. State	Prisoner				1D17-4711 (Fla.App. Dist.1 11/07/2018)	
103 Kendrick v. Jones	Prisoner	39 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for this Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC17-679 (Fla. 06/02/2017)	
104 La-Casse v. Inch	Prisoner				3D20-1336 (Fla.App. Dist.3 10/07/2020)	
105 La-Casse v. State	Prisoner				3D18-2528 (Fla.App. Dist.3 02/06/2019)	
106 Lake v. State	Prisoner				4D12-3464 (Fla.App. Dist.4 06/05/2013)	
107 Lanier v. State	Prisoner				908 So.2d 332	30 Fla. L. Weekly S510 (Fla. 06/30/2005)
108 Lawton v. State	Prisoner				1D16-1933 (Fla.App. Dist.1 08/25/2016)	

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Verdicts	Memorandum	Show Cause	Date
109	Lockhart v. Crews	Prisoner	27 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes	134 So.3d 448 (Fla. 06/27/2013)	
110	Lucious Hankins Jr v. State of Florida	Prisoner				No. 4D12-3180 (Fla.App. 12/19/2012)	
111	Luma v. State	Prisoner				3D17-1344 (Fla.App. Dist.3 01/17/2018)	
112	Maddrie v. Colton	Prisoner				998 So.2d 668	34 Fla. L. Weekly D96 (Fla.App. Dist.4 01/05/2009)
113	Mark Eric Osterback v. State of Florida	Prisoner				109 So.3d 887 (Fla.App. 03/22/2013)	
114	Marts v. Jones	Prisoner	28 cases in the Florida Supreme Court pertaining to his criminal case; frivolous, nonmeritorious, or otherwise inappropriate filings	Unknown	Yes	SC18-1163 (Fla. 09/12/2018)	
115	Mason v. State	Prisoner	10 proceedings regarding conviction	Unknown	Yes	973 So.2d 618	33 Fla. L. Weekly D305 (Fla.App. Dist.3 01/23/2008)
116	McCray v. State	Prisoner	17 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC15-559 (Fla. 12/01/2015)	
117	McCutcheon v. State	Prisoner				SC12-414	SC12-454 (Fla. 04/25/2013)
118	McKenna v. State	Prisoner				161 So.3d 435	39 Fla.L. Weekly D 937 (Fla.App. Dist.2 05/07/2014)
119	McQueen v. State	Prisoner				990 So.2d 1131	33 Fla. L. Weekly D2005 (Fla.App. Dist.1 08/15/2008)
120	Mendoza v. State	Prisoner				183 So.3d 404	39 Fla.L. Weekly D 1548 (Fla.App. Dist.3 07/23/2014)
121	Michael White v. State of Florida	Prisoner				No. 1D12-3506 (Fla.App. 11/26/2012)	
122	Minton v. State	Prisoner				1D16-0645 (Fla.App. Dist.1 04/11/2017)	
123	Mitchell v. State	Prisoner				67 So.3d 1188	36 Fla. L. Weekly D1844 (Fla.App. Dist.5 08/19/2011)
124	Mora v. McNeil	Prisoner	23 cases in Florida Supreme Court with 14 in a year; extensive profanity.	Unknown	Yes	984 So.2d 513	33 Fla. L. Weekly S217 (Fla. 03/20/2008)
125	Myles v. Crews	Prisoner				123 So.3d 1099 (Fla. 06/20/2013)	

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Vexatious	Memo	Show Citation Cause	Date
126	Neal v. State	Prisoner	Appealed six orders denying postconviction relief with no relief granted by the court	Unknown	Yes	65 So.3d 66	36 Fla. L. Weekly D1200 (Fla.App. Dist.1 06/08/2011)
127	Nelson v. Florida Department of Corrections	Prisoner				1D15-1418 (Fla.App. Dist.1 06/15/2016)	
128	Nicely v. State	Prisoner				1D17-4044 (Fla.App. Dist.1 07/09/2018)	
129	O'Connor v. Watt	Prisoner				119 So.3d 471	38 Fla. L. Weekly D 1484 (Fla.App. Dist.1 07/05/2013)
130	Oliveira v. State	Prisoner				124 So.3d 964	38 Fla. L. Weekly D 2171 (Fla.App. Dist.4 10/16/2013)
131	Pawley v. State	Prisoner				3D16-2758 (Fla.App. Dist.3 03/08/2017)	
132	Pettway v. McNeil	Prisoner	Frequently cited by Florida Supreme Court. 20 twenty separate proceedings in this Court, including this petition, involving his convictions and sentences - devoid of merit or inappropriate for review in this Court.	Unknown	Yes	987 So.2d 20	33 Fla. L. Weekly S355 (Fla. 05/22/2008)
133	Porter v. Chronister	Prisoner	committed a fraud on the court by failing to list all of his prior and pending court cases on his application for civil indigency	Unknown	Yes	295 So.3d 310 (Fla.App. Dist.2 04/08/2020)	
134	Potter v. State	Prisoner				127 So.3d 701	38 Fla. L. Weekly D 2398 (Fla.App. Dist.4 11/20/2013)
135	Pray v. Forman	Prisoner	12 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC16-713 (Fla. 06/24/2016)	
136	Raghubir v. State	Prisoner				SC18-825 (Fla. 08/30/2018)	
137	Ranson v. State	Prisoner				721 So.2d 372	23 Fla. L. Weekly D2428 (Fla.App. Dist.2 10/28/1998)
138	Reddick v. State	Prisoner				158 So.3d 592 (Fla.App. Dist.3 08/22/2014)	
139	Richardson v. State	Prisoner				70 So.3d 609	36 Fla. L. Weekly D171 (Fla.App. Dist.1 01/24/2011)
140	Rivera v. State	Prisoner				275 So.3d 594 (Fla.App. Dist.2 06/27/2019)	
141	Roberson v. McNeil	Prisoner				39 So.3d 350	35 Fla. L. Weekly D1271 (Fla.App. Dist.1 06/04/2010)

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Verdicts	Memo	Show Cause	Date
142	Roberts v. Jones	Prisoner	25 cases in the Florida Supreme Court over 16 years that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC16-1150 (Fla. 09/29/2016)	
143	Schiming v. Jones	Prisoner	12 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC18-695 (Fla. 07/10/2018)	
144	Schofield v. State	Prisoner	36 petitions and notices in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC17-2281 (Fla. 02/21/2018)	
145	Shermerd Richardson v. William Cervone State Attorney By and On Behalf of D.O.C	Prisoner				No. 1D12-5516 (Fla.App. 02/08/2013)	
146	Shirah v. State	Prisoner	14 cases in the Florida Supreme Court; numerous pro se filings in this Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for this Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC18-476 (Fla. 07/10/2018)	
147	Sledge v. State	Prisoner				5D18-2618 (Fla.App. Dist. 5 12/14/2018)	
148	Smith v. Jones	Prisoner	35 cases in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for the Court's review	Unknown	Yes	SC15-2191 (Fla. 02/04/2016)	
149	Smith v. Rutherford	Prisoner				162 So.3d 14	39 Fla.L. Weekly D 574 (Fla.App. Dist.1 03/18/2014)
150	Spencer v. State	Prisoner				148 So.3d 128	39 Fla. L. Weekly D 2056 (Fla.App. Dist.1 09/29/2014)
151	Stanley v. Ramsay	Prisoner	SHOW CAUSE ORDER. Alleged frequent, frivolous filings. Inadequate information in Opinion.	Unknown	Yes	3D19-166, 3D19-167, 3D19-168, 3D19-170, 3D19-171, 3D19-204, 3D19-205, 3D19-206, 3D19-207, 3D19-208, 3D19-209, 3D19-210, 3D19-211, 3D19-212, 3D19-213, 3D19-214, 3D19-215, 3D19-217, 3D19-218, 3D19-219, 3D19-220, 3D19-221, 3D19-222, 3D19-223, 3D19-232 (Fla.App. Dist.3 04/24/2019)	

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Verdicts	Memo	Show Cause	Citation	Date
152	Steele v. State	Prisoner					14 So.3d 221	34 Fla. L. Weekly S437 (Fla. 07/09/2009)
153	Strong v. State	Prisoner					1D16-2275 (Fla.App. Dist.1 04/13/2017)	
154	Tate v. McNeil	Prisoner	Frequently cited by Florida Supreme Court.				983 So.2d 502	33 Fla. L. Weekly S189 (Fla. 03/13/2008)
155	Thames v. State	Prisoner					93 So.3d 1190	37 Fla. L. Weekly D1871 (Fla.App. Dist.1 08/08/2012)
156	Walker v. Ellis	Prisoner					28 So.3d 91	34 Fla. L. Weekly D2612 (Fla.App. Dist.1 12/22/2009)
157	Walker v. Florida Parole Com'n	Prisoner	Since Walker was required to have an attorney sign his pleadings, he filed no fewer than 30 pleadings, including approximately 19 appeals or petitions	Unknown	Yes		70 So.3d 665	36 Fla. L. Weekly D1542 (Fla.App. Dist.1 07/18/2011)
158	Walker v. State	Prisoner	27 post-conviction actions	Unknown	Yes		814 So.2d 516	27 Fla. L. Weekly D921 (Fla.App. Dist.3 04/24/2002)
159	Washington v. State	Prisoner	Inadequate information in opinion	Unknown	Yes		4D17-3513	4D17-3514 (Fla.App. Dist.4 02/07/2018)
160	Weaver v. State	Prisoner					1D16-5341 (Fla.App. Dist.1 11/27/2017)	
161	Werdell v. State	Prisoner					16 So.3d 875	34 Fla. L. Weekly D1277 (Fla.App. Dist.2 06/24/2009)
162	Wetzel v. State	Prisoner	SHOW CAUSE ORDER. Filed a litany of indecipherable and misleading documents with this Court. Wetzel filed more than 100 pleadings that were rambling, repetitive, and irrelevant.	Unknown	Yes		SC18-2109 (Fla. 04/04/2019)	
163	Wetzel v. State	Prisoner	9 cases in the Florida Supreme Court in one year; that contain misrepresentations of fact, are meritless, or not appropriate for the Court's review				SC19-7 (Fla. 06/06/2019)	
164	Williams v. Crews	Prisoner	11 cases challenging conviction	Unknown	Yes		123 So.3d 562 (Fla. 08/28/2013)	
165	Williams v. Inch	Prisoner					SC19-287 (Fla. 05/10/2019)	
166	Willis v. State	Prisoner					1D17-4685 (Fla.App. Dist.1 10/18/2018)	
167	Wilson v. State	Prisoner					141 So.3d 587 (Fla.App. Dist.4 04/30/2014)	

Appendix

57

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Vexatious	Memo	Show Cause	Citation	Date
1	Ardis v. Pensacola State College	No	17 cases were filed in this court with no relief obtained; called the "poster-child" for vexatious litigants. Frivolous and excessive filings. Warned many times before the attorney signature sanction was imposed.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	128 So.3d 260	38 Fla. L. Weekly D 2635 (Fla.App. Dist.1 12/17/2013)
2	Arzoumanian v. U.S. Bank National Association	No	Frivolous and flagrant attempt to circumvent the Court's previously entered sanction order barring Mark P. Arzoumanian from filing pro se appeals relating to lower tribunal case number CACE03-1122. He filed such appeals over 10 years regarding a foreclosure.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	293 So.3d 6 (Fla.App. Dist.4 02/05/2020)	
3	Day v. Department of Health Board of Chiropractic	No	47 improper cases filed. 9 cases in appellate court with vicious attacks on the integrity and motives of the executive branch of government, the lower tribunals, this court, the trial bench and the bar. Roy Day also initiated at least 38 pro se cases in the Florida Supreme Court.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	790 So.2d 1212 (Fla.App. Dist.1 06/21/2001)	
4	Fayiga v. Cassagnol	No	Insufficient information in Opinion.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	98 So.3d 1249	37 Fla. L. Weekly D2381 (Fla.App. Dist.3 10/10/2012)
5	G.W. v. Rushing	No	G.W. had been determined to be a vexatious litigant based on Florida Statute 68.093. This means in the immediately preceding 5-year period, he had commenced, prosecuted, or maintained, pro se, five or more civil actions in any court in Florida, which actions had been finally and adversely determined against him.	Yes	Yes	?	22 So.3d 819	34 Fla. L. Weekly D2433 (Fla.App. Dist.2 11/25/2009)

Case	Prisoner	Issues	Vexatious	Memo	Show Cause	Station	Date
6 Humes v. Solanki	No	The Trial Court entered an order requiring future filings to be signed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. The appellate court overturned the order as Humes' due process rights were violated when there was no show cause order.	No	Yes	NO*	3D19-0601 (Fla.App. Dist.3 04/08/2020)	
7 Huminski v. Town of Gilbert	No	Filed identical petitions in multiple cases in violation of a court order. These were frivolous and repetitious filings.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	2D20-1557 (Fla.App. Dist.2 07/08/2020)	
8 Jenkins v. Motorola	No	Insufficient information in Opinion. Multiple pro se petitions in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for this Court's review; insufficient information in the Opinion.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	62 So.3d 1210	36 Fla. L. Weekly D1202 (Fla.App. Dist.3 05/08/2011)
9 Johnson v. Bank of New York Mellon Trust Co.	No	Multiple pro se petitions in the Florida Supreme Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for this Court's review; insufficient information in the Opinion.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	134 So.3d 448 (Fla. 12/18/2012)	
10 Johnson v. Wilbur	No	22 cases showing a profound lack of understanding of the court system in general and of the appellate system in particular	Unknown	Yes	Yes	981 So.2d 479	33 Fla. L. Weekly D493 (Fla.App. Dist.1 02/13/2008)
11 Lomax v. Taylor	No	Over 10 years, Mattie Lomax a/k/a Tama Twynette has initiated 45 cases in this Court that the Court has either transferred or dismissed	Unknown	Yes	Yes	143 So.3d 920 (Fla. 04/29/2014)	
12 Lussy v. Fourth Dist. Court of Appeal	No	26 baseless Florida pleadings. The Court stated: "Petitioner's pro se activities before this Court have substantially interfered with the orderly process of judicial administration," so the sanction was based on FLORIDA.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	828 So.2d 1026	
13 May v. Barthet	No	Numerous, Four different Florida courts ordered pleadings signed by an attorney.	Yes	Yes	Yes	934 So.2d 1184	27 Fla. L. Weekly S788 (Fla. 09/26/2002)
14 Olga Maria Aguirre v. In Re: the Estate of Efrain Aguirre	No	20 meritless filings	Unknown	Yes	Yes	112 So.3d 650 (Fla.App. 04/24/2013)	31 Fla. L. Weekly S407 (Fla. 06/22/2006)

#	Case	Prisoner	Issues	Vexatious	Memo	Show Cause	Citation	Date
15	Owens v. Forte	No	Insufficient information to know what Kevin M. Owens allegedly did. The appellate court noted that a show cause order should have been issued.	Unknown	Yes	NO*	135 So.3d 445	39 Fla. L. Weekly D 563 (Fla.App. Dist.2 03/14/2014)
16	Riethmiller v. Riethmiller	No	Numerous pleadings devoid of merit or inappropriate for review. A pattern of instituting proceedings and then failing to properly pursue them.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	133 So.3d 926	38 Fla. L. Weekly S 884 (Fla. 12/05/2013)
17	Rivas v. Bank of New York Mellon	No	Numerous meritless and inappropriate filings in this Court pertaining to his foreclosure proceedings	Unknown	Yes	Yes	SC17-1934 (Fla.03/22/2018)	
18	Sibley v. Sibley	No	25 appellate proceedings found to have no merit; relitigating matters decided earlier. 12 federal court actions against judges.	Unknown	Yes	Yes	885 So.2d 980	29 Fla. L. Weekly D2449 - 29 Fla. L. Weekly D2755 (Fla.App. Dist.3 11/03/2004)
19	Smith v. Allstate Ins. Co.	No	Smith declared a Vexatious Litigant based on Florida Statute 68.093. This means in the immediately preceding 5 year period, he had commenced, prosecuted, or maintained, pro se, five or more civil actions in any court in Florida, which actions had been finally and adversely determined against her.	Yes	Yes	Yes	925 So.2d 474 (Fla.App. Dist.3 04/12/2006)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a true and correct copy of the foregoing by Electronic

Mail:

David I. Wynne and Scott L. Astrin
Law Offices of Scott L. Astrin
Bogus Address: 100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 2605, Tampa, Florida 33602
david.wynne@aig.com, tampapleadings@aig.com,
emily.christopher@aig.com, scott.astrin@aig.com
813-526-0559 - 813-218-3110
Fax: 813-649-8362

Judge Jeffrey L. Ashton
37orange@ninthcircuit.org

This 29th day of March, 2021,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William M. Windsor", written over a horizontal line.

William M. Windsor
100 East Oak Terrace Drive, Unit B3
Leesburg, Florida 34748
352-577-9988
windorinmontana@yahoo.com
billwindsor1@outlook.com